

MULTI-VALUED FIXED POINTS RESULTS VIA RATIONAL TYPE CONTRACTIVE CONDITIONS IN COMPLEX VALUED METRIC SPACES

Muhammad Sirajo Abdullahi and Akbar Azam

ABSTRACT. We establish some common fixed point theorems for multi-valued mappings in complex valued metric spaces satisfying rational type contractive conditions. Our results unify, extend and improve many recent results of the literature.

1. Introduction

Banach contraction principle [4] plays a vital role in the development of metric fixed point theory. In 1969, Nadler [14] introduced the concept of multi-valued contraction mappings by combining the ideas of set-valued mapping and Lipchitz mapping and obtained some fixed point results. Several researchers studied, extended and generalized the result in [4] in many directions, see [2, 6, 7, 12, 15, 16, 19, 20].

On the other hand, many authors have extended the idea of metric space to many of its generalizations and obtained some interesting extensions of Banach contraction principle [4]. For example, see [5, 8, 13, 18].

The study and extension of fixed point results of rational type contractive conditions is worrisome in cone metric spaces, this motivated Azam *et al.* [3] to introduce a generalized metric space called complex valued metric space, and obtained a sufficient conditions for the existence of common fixed points for a pair of mappings satisfying contractive conditions. In 2012, Rouzkard and Imdad [17] presented some common fixed point theorems satisfying rational type expressions which generalized the results of Azam *et al.* [3]. Afterwards, Sintunavarat and

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47H10, 54H25.

Key words and phrases. Fixed point, Common fixed point, Multi-valued mappings, Complex valued metric spaces, Contractive conditions.

Kumam [21] established common fixed point theorems which are more general than the results in [3] by replacing the constant of contraction with some control functions and also gave some results for weakly compatible mappings in complex valued metric space. Sitthikul and Saejung [22] continue the study of fixed point theorems in this direction and obtained results which generalized the results proved in [17, 21]. Later in 2014, Kumar and Hussain [11] generalized the results in [22].

Recently, Kumam *et al.* [10] investigated some fixed point results by using the idea of contractive conditions of control functions in the context of complex valued metric spaces, their results generalized the results of [11, 17, 21, 22]. On the other hand, the notion of multi-valued contractive mappings in complex valued metric space was introduced by Ahmad *et al.* [1], in which they improved and extended the results of [3].

In this paper, we adopt the method in [1] to extend and improve the results of Kumam *et al.* [10] to multi-valued mappings in complex valued metric spaces without using the notion of continuity.

2. Preliminaries

To begin with, we recall some basic definitions and results which will be useful in the sequel.

Let \mathbb{C} be the set of complex numbers and $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$, we define a partial order \precsim on \mathbb{C} as follows:

$$z_1 \precsim z_2 \text{ if and only if } \Re(z_1) \leq \Re(z_2), \Im(z_1) \leq \Im(z_2).$$

It follows that

$$z_1 \precsim z_2$$

if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (i) $\Re(z_1) = \Re(z_2), \Im(z_1) < \Im(z_2)$;
- (ii) $\Re(z_1) < \Re(z_2), \Im(z_1) = \Im(z_2)$;
- (iii) $\Re(z_1) < \Re(z_2), \Im(z_1) < \Im(z_2)$;
- (iv) $\Re(z_1) = \Re(z_2), \Im(z_1) = \Im(z_2)$.

In particular, we will write $z_1 \prec z_2$, if $z_1 \neq z_2$ and one of (i),(ii),(iii) is satisfied and we will write $z_1 \prec z_2$ if only (iii) is satisfied.

DEFINITION 2.1. [3]. Let X be a nonempty set. Suppose that the mapping $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies the following conditions:

- D_1 . $0 \precsim d(z, w)$ for all $z, w \in X$;
- D_2 . $d(z, w) = 0 \iff z = w$ for all $z, w \in X$;
- D_3 . $d(z, w) = d(w, z)$ for all $z, w \in X$;
- D_4 . $d(z, w) \precsim d(z, u) + d(u, w)$ for all $z, u, w \in X$.

Then, d is called a complex valued metric on \mathbb{C} , and the pair (X, d) is called a complex valued metric space.

EXAMPLE 2.1. Let $X = [0, \infty)$ and $x, y \in X$. Define $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x = y; \\ \frac{i}{2}, & \text{if } x \neq y. \end{cases}$$

Then, d is a complex valued metric and hence (X, d) is a complex valued metric space.

DEFINITION 2.2. Let (X, d) be a complex valued metric space.

- i. A point $x \in X$ is called an interior point of a set $A \subset X$ whenever there exists $0 \prec r \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $B(x, r) = \{y : d(x, y) \prec r\} \subset A$;
- ii. A point $x \in X$ is called a limit point of a set $A \subset X$ whenever for every $0 \prec r \in \mathbb{C}$, $B(x, r) \cap (A \setminus \{x\}) \neq \emptyset$;
- iii. A subset $A \subset X$ is called open whenever each element of A is an interior point of A ;
- iv. A subset $B \subset X$ is called closed whenever each limit point of B belongs to B ;
- v. The family $F = \{B(x, r) : x \in X, 0 \prec r\}$ is a sub-basis for a Hausdorff topology $\tau_{\mathbb{C}}$ on X .

DEFINITION 2.3. [3]. Let $\{z_n\}$ be a sequence in a complex valued metric space X and $z \in X$.

- i. If for every $c \in \mathbb{C}$ with $0 \prec c$ there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(z_n, z) \prec c$ for all $n > n_0$, then $\{z_n\}$ is said to be convergent, $\{z_n\}$ converges to z and z is called the limit point of $\{z_n\}$. We denote this by $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = z$ or $z_n \rightarrow z$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
- ii. If for every $c \in \mathbb{C}$ with $0 \prec c$ there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(z_n, z_{n+m}) \prec c$ for all $n > n_0$, then $\{z_n\}$ is called a Cauchy sequence in (X, d) ;
- iii. If every Cauchy sequence in (X, d) is convergent in (X, d) , then (X, d) is called a complete complex valued metric space.

LEMMA 2.1. [3]. Let (X, d) be a complex valued metric space and $\{z_n\}$ be a sequence in (X, d) . Then, $\{z_n\}$ converges to z if and only if $|d(z_n, z)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

LEMMA 2.2. [3]. Let (X, d) be a complex valued metric space and $\{z_n\}$ be a sequence in (X, d) . Then, $\{z_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence if and only if $|d(z_n, z_{n+m})| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

REMARK 2.1. For every $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $a, b \in [0, \infty)$. If

- i. $0 \precsim z_1 \precsim z_2 \implies |z_1| < |z_2|$;
- ii. $z_1 \precsim z_2, z_2 \prec z_3 \implies z_1 \prec z_3$;
- iii. $a \leq b \implies az_1 \precsim bz_1$ for all $z_1 \in \mathbb{C}$.

Let (X, d) be a complex valued metric space. Let denote the nonempty(resp. bounded, closed and bounded) subset of X by $N(X)$ (resp. $B(X), CB(X)$).

For $a \in X$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $B \in N(X)$, define $s(z) = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : z \preceq w\}$, and $s(a, B) = \bigcup_{b \in B} s(d(a, b)) = \bigcup_{b \in B} \{w \in \mathbb{C} : d(a, b) \preceq w\}$.

For $A, B \in B(X)$, denote

$$s(A, B) = \left(\bigcap_{a \in A} s(a, B) \right) \bigcap \left(\bigcap_{b \in B} s(b, A) \right).$$

REMARK 2.2. Let (X, d) be a complex valued metric space. If $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}$, then (X, d) is a metric space. Moreover, for $A, B \in CB(X)$, $H(A, B) = \inf s(A, B)$ is the Hausdorff distance induced by complex valued metric d .

Let (X, d) be a complex valued metric space, and $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a multi-valued mapping. For $x \in X$ and $A \in CB(X)$, define $W_x(A) = \{d(x, a) : a \in A\}$.

Thus, for $x, y \in X$ we define, $W_x(Ty) = \{d(x, a) : a \in Ty\}$.

DEFINITION 2.4. Let (X, d) be a complex valued metric space. A nonempty set $A \subset X$ is called bounded from below if there exists some $z \in \mathbb{C}$, such that $z \preceq a$ for all $a \in A$.

DEFINITION 2.5. Let (X, d) be a complex valued metric space. A multi-valued mapping $K : X \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{C}}$ is called bounded from below if for $x \in X$ there exists $z_x \in \mathbb{C}$, such that $z_x \preceq a$ for all $a \in Tx$.

DEFINITION 2.6. Let (X, d) be a complex valued metric space. A multi-valued mapping $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ is said to have lower bounded property (l.b property) on (X, d) if for any $x \in X$ the multi-valued mapping $K_x : X \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{C}}$ defined by $K_x(Ty) = W_x(Ty)$ is bounded from below. That is, for $x, y \in X$ there exists an element $l_x(Ty) \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $l_x(Ty) \preceq a$ for all $a \in W_x(Ty)$, where $l_x(Ty)$ is called lower bound of T associated with (x, y) .

DEFINITION 2.7. Let (X, d) be a complex valued metric space. A multi-valued mapping $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ is said to have greatest lower bounded property (g.l.b property) on (X, d) if the greatest lower bound of $W_x(Ty)$ exists in \mathbb{C} for all $x, y \in X$. We denote the g.l.b of $W_x(Ty)$ by $d(x, Ty)$ and define it as; $d(x, Ty) = \inf\{d(x, a) : a \in Ty\}$.

DEFINITION 2.8. Let (X, d) be a complex valued metric space and $S, T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$. Then

- i. A point $z \in X$ is said to be a fixed point of T if $z \in Tz$;
- ii. A point $z \in X$ is said to be a common fixed point of S and T if $z \in Sz$ and $z \in Tz$.

3. Main Results

In this section we prove our main results, before that we give the following well known results which are essential.

PROPOSITION 3.1. [22]. Let (X, d) be a complete complex valued metric space and $K, L : X \rightarrow X$. Let $u_0 \in X$ and define the sequence $\{u_n\}$ by

$$u_{2n+1} = Ku_{2n} \text{ and } u_{2n+2} = Lu_{2n+1} \text{ for all } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Assume that there exists a mapping $\lambda : X \times X \rightarrow [0, 1)$ satisfying

$$\lambda(LKu, v) \leq \lambda(u, v) \text{ and } \lambda(u, KLv) \leq \lambda(u, v), \text{ for all } u, v \in X.$$

Then,

$$\lambda(u_{2n}, v) \leq \lambda(u_0, v) \text{ and } \lambda(u, u_{2n+1}) \leq \lambda(u, u_1),$$

for all $u, v \in X$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

The following lemma can be derived easily from Proposition 3.1 above.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let (X, d) be a complete complex valued metric space and $L : X \rightarrow X$. Let $z_0 \in X$ and define the sequence $\{z_n\}$ by*

$$z_{n+1} = Lz_n, \text{ for all } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Suppose that there exists a mapping $\lambda : X \times X \rightarrow [0, 1)$ satisfying

$$\lambda(Lz, z^*) \leq \lambda(z, z^*) \text{ and } \lambda(z, Lz^*) \leq \lambda(z, z^*), \text{ for all } z, z^* \in X.$$

Then,

$$\lambda(z_n, z^*) \leq \lambda(z_0, z^*) \text{ and } \lambda(z, z_{n+1}) \leq \lambda(z, z_1),$$

for all $z, z^ \in X$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$*

LEMMA 3.2. [10]. *Let (X, d) be a complete complex valued metric space and $K, L : X \rightarrow X$. Let $w_0 \in X$ and define the sequence $\{w_n\}$ by*

$$w_{2n+1} = Kw_{2n} \text{ and } w_{2n+2} = Lw_{2n+1} \text{ for all } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Assume that there exists a mapping $\lambda : X \rightarrow [0, 1)$ satisfying

$$\lambda(LKw) \leq \lambda(w) \text{ and } \lambda(KLw) \leq \lambda(w), \text{ for all } w \in X.$$

Then,

$$\lambda(w_{2n}) \leq \lambda(w_0) \text{ and } \lambda(w_{2n+1}) \leq \lambda(w_1),$$

for all $w \in X$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

THEOREM 3.1. *Let (X, d) be a complete complex valued metric space, $\lambda_i : X \times X \rightarrow [0, 1)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 8$ and $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a multi-valued mapping with g.l.b property such that for all $x, y \in X$ the following conditions holds:*

- i. $\lambda_i(Tx, y) \leq \lambda_i(x, y)$ and $\lambda_i(x, Ty) \leq \lambda_i(x, y);$
- ii.

$$\lambda_1(x, y)d(x, y) + \lambda_2(x, y)d(x, Ty) + \lambda_3(x, y)d(y, Tx) + \lambda_4(x, y)d(x, Tx)$$

$$+ \lambda_5(x, y)d(y, Ty) + \lambda_6(x, y)\frac{d(y, Ty)[1 + d(x, Tx)]}{1 + d(x, y)}$$

$$(3.1) \quad + \lambda_7(x, y)\frac{d(x, Ty)[1 + d(x, Tx)]}{1 + d(x, y)}$$

$$+ \lambda_8(x, y)\frac{d(x, Ty)[1 + d(y, Tx)]}{1 + d(x, y) + d(y, Tx)} \in s(Tx, Ty),$$

where

$$\lambda_1(x, y) + \lambda_3(x, y) + \lambda_4(x, y) + \lambda_5(x, y) + \lambda_6(x, y) + 2[\lambda_2(x, y) + \lambda_7(x, y) + \lambda_8(x, y)] < 1.$$

Then, T has a unique fixed point.

PROOF. (Existence:) Let $x_0 \in X$ (arbitrary) and $x_1 \in Tx_0$. From (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_1(x_0, x_1)d(x_0, x_1) + \lambda_2(x_0, x_1)d(x_0, Tx_1) + \lambda_3(x_0, x_1)d(x_1, Tx_0) \\ & + \lambda_4(x_0, x_1)d(x_0, Tx_0) + \lambda_5(x_0, x_1)d(x_1, Tx_1) \\ & + \lambda_6(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_1, Tx_1)[1 + d(x_0, Tx_0)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \\ & + \lambda_7(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, Tx_1)[1 + d(x_0, Tx_0)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \\ & + \lambda_8(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, Tx_1)[1 + d(x_1, Tx_0)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, Tx_0)} \in s(Tx_0, Tx_1). \end{aligned}$$

Which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_1(x_0, x_1)d(x_0, x_1) + \lambda_2(x_0, x_1)d(x_0, Tx_1) + \lambda_3(x_0, x_1)d(x_1, Tx_0) \\ & + \lambda_4(x_0, x_1)d(x_0, Tx_0) + \lambda_5(x_0, x_1)d(x_1, Tx_1) \\ & + \lambda_6(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_1, Tx_1)[1 + d(x_0, Tx_0)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \\ & + \lambda_7(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, Tx_1)[1 + d(x_0, Tx_0)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \\ & + \lambda_8(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, Tx_1)[1 + d(x_1, Tx_0)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, Tx_0)} \in \left(\bigcap_{x \in Tx_0} s(x, Tx_1) \right). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_1(x_0, x_1)d(x_0, x_1) + \lambda_2(x_0, x_1)d(x_0, Tx_1) + \lambda_3(x_0, x_1)d(x_1, Tx_0) \\ & + \lambda_4(x_0, x_1)d(x_0, Tx_0) + \lambda_5(x_0, x_1)d(x_1, Tx_1) \\ & + \lambda_6(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_1, Tx_1)[1 + d(x_0, Tx_0)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \\ & + \lambda_7(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, Tx_1)[1 + d(x_0, Tx_0)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \\ & + \lambda_8(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, Tx_1)[1 + d(x_1, Tx_0)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, Tx_0)} \in s(x, Tx_1), \forall x \in Tx_0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $x_1 \in Tx_0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_1(x_0, x_1)d(x_0, x_1) + \lambda_2(x_0, x_1)d(x_0, Tx_1) + \lambda_3(x_0, x_1)d(x_1, Tx_0) \\ & + \lambda_4(x_0, x_1)d(x_0, Tx_0) + \lambda_5(x_0, x_1)d(x_1, Tx_1) \\ & + \lambda_6(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_1, Tx_1)[1 + d(x_0, Tx_0)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \\ & + \lambda_7(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, Tx_1)[1 + d(x_0, Tx_0)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \\ & + \lambda_8(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, Tx_1)[1 + d(x_1, Tx_0)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, Tx_0)} \in s(x_1, Tx_1). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_1(x_0, x_1)d(x_0, x_1) + \lambda_2(x_0, x_1)d(x_0, Tx_1) + \lambda_3(x_0, x_1)d(x_1, Tx_0) \\
& + \lambda_4(x_0, x_1)d(x_0, Tx_0) + \lambda_5(x_0, x_1)d(x_1, Tx_1) \\
& + \lambda_6(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_1, Tx_1)[1 + d(x_0, Tx_0)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \\
& + \lambda_7(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, Tx_1)[1 + d(x_0, Tx_0)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \\
& + \lambda_8(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, Tx_1)[1 + d(x_1, Tx_0)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, Tx_0)} \in \left(\bigcup_{y \in Tx_1} s(d(x_1, y)) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, there exists some $x_2 \in Tx_1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_1(x_0, x_1)d(x_0, x_1) + \lambda_2(x_0, x_1)d(x_0, Tx_1) + \lambda_3(x_0, x_1)d(x_1, Tx_0) \\
& + \lambda_4(x_0, x_1)d(x_0, Tx_0) + \lambda_5(x_0, x_1)d(x_1, Tx_1) \\
& + \lambda_6(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_1, Tx_1)[1 + d(x_0, Tx_0)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \\
& + \lambda_7(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, Tx_1)[1 + d(x_0, Tx_0)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \\
& + \lambda_8(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, Tx_1)[1 + d(x_1, Tx_0)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, Tx_0)} \in s(d(x_1, x_2)).
\end{aligned}$$

By definition, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_1, x_2) & \preceq \lambda_1(x_0, x_1)d(x_0, x_1) + \lambda_2(x_0, x_1)d(x_0, Tx_1) + \lambda_3(x_0, x_1)d(x_1, Tx_0) \\
& + \lambda_4(x_0, x_1)d(x_0, Tx_0) + \lambda_5(x_0, x_1)d(x_1, Tx_1) \\
& + \lambda_6(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_1, Tx_1)[1 + d(x_0, Tx_0)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \\
& + \lambda_7(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, Tx_1)[1 + d(x_0, Tx_0)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \\
& + \lambda_8(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, Tx_1)[1 + d(x_1, Tx_0)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, Tx_0)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the g.l.b property of T , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_1, x_2) & \preceq \lambda_1(x_0, x_1)d(x_0, x_1) + \lambda_2(x_0, x_1)d(x_0, x_2) + \lambda_3(x_0, x_1)d(x_1, x_1) \\
& + \lambda_4(x_0, x_1)d(x_0, x_1) + \lambda_5(x_0, x_1)d(x_1, x_2) \\
& + \lambda_6(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_1, x_2)[1 + d(x_0, x_1)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \\
& + \lambda_7(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, x_2)[1 + d(x_0, x_1)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \\
& + \lambda_8(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, x_2)[1 + d(x_1, x_1)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Which implies

$$\begin{aligned} |d(x_1, x_2)| &\leq \lambda_1(x_0, x_1)|d(x_0, x_1)| + \lambda_2(x_0, x_1)|d(x_0, x_2)| + \lambda_4(x_0, x_1)|d(x_0, x_1)| \\ &\quad + \lambda_5(x_0, x_1)|d(x_1, x_2)| + \lambda_6(x_0, x_1)|d(x_1, x_2)| + \lambda_7(x_0, x_1)|d(x_0, x_2)| \\ &\quad + \lambda_8(x_0, x_1)|d(x_0, x_2)|. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |d(x_1, x_2)| &\leq \lambda_1(x_0, x_1)|d(x_0, x_1)| + \lambda_2(x_0, x_1)|d(x_0, x_1)| + \lambda_2(x_0, x_1)|d(x_1, x_2)| \\ &\quad + \lambda_4(x_0, x_1)|d(x_0, x_1)| + \lambda_5(x_0, x_1)|d(x_1, x_2)| + \lambda_6(x_0, x_1)|d(x_1, x_2)| \\ &\quad + \lambda_7(x_0, x_1)|d(x_0, x_1)| + \lambda_7(x_0, x_1)|d(x_1, x_2)| + \lambda_8(x_0, x_1)|d(x_0, x_1)| \\ &\quad + \lambda_8(x_0, x_1)|d(x_1, x_2)|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$|d(x_1, x_2)| \leq h|d(x_0, x_1)|,$$

where

$$h = \frac{\lambda_1(x_0, x_1) + \lambda_2(x_0, x_1) + \lambda_4(x_0, x_1) + \lambda_7(x_0, x_1) + \lambda_8(x_0, x_1)}{1 - (\lambda_2(x_0, x_1) + \lambda_5(x_0, x_1) + \lambda_6(x_0, x_1) + \lambda_7(x_0, x_1) + \lambda_8(x_0, x_1))} < 1.$$

Inductively, we can construct a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ we have

$$|d(x_n, x_{n+1})| \leq h^n|d(x_0, x_1)|, \quad x_{n+1} \in Tx_n.$$

So for $m > n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |d(x_n, x_m)| &\leq |d(x_n, x_{n+1})| + |d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})| + \cdots + |d(x_{m-1}, x_m)| \\ &\leq [h^n + h^{n+1} + \cdots + h^{m-1}]|d(x_0, x_1)| \\ &\leq \left[\frac{h^n}{1-h} \right] |d(x_0, x_1)|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$|d(x_n, x_m)| \leq \left[\frac{h^n}{1-h} \right] |d(x_0, x_1)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n, m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . It follows from the completeness of X that there exists $z \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = z$.

We now show that $z \in Tz$. From (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda_1(x_n, z)d(x_n, z) + \lambda_2(x_n, z)d(x_n, Tz) + \lambda_3(x_n, z)d(z, Tx_n) + \lambda_4(x_n, z)d(x_n, Tx_n) \\ &\quad + \lambda_5(x_n, z)d(z, Tz) + \lambda_6(x_n, z)\frac{d(z, Tz)[1 + d(x_n, Tx_n)]}{1 + d(x_n, z)} \\ &\quad + \lambda_7(x_n, z)\frac{d(x_n, Tz)[1 + d(x_n, Tx_n)]}{1 + d(x_n, z)} \\ &\quad + \lambda_8(x_n, z)\frac{d(x_n, Tz)[1 + d(z, Tx_n)]}{1 + d(x_n, z) + d(z, Tx_n)} \in s(Tx_n, Tz). \end{aligned}$$

It implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_1(x_n, z)d(x_n, z) + \lambda_2(x_n, z)d(x_n, Tz) + \lambda_3(x_n, z)d(z, Tx_n) + \lambda_4(x_n, z)d(x_n, Tx_n) \\ & + \lambda_5(x_n, z)d(z, Tz) + \lambda_6(x_n, z)\frac{d(z, Tz)[1 + d(x_n, Tx_n)]}{1 + d(x_n, z)} \\ & + \lambda_7(x_n, z)\frac{d(x_n, Tz)[1 + d(x_n, Tx_n)]}{1 + d(x_n, z)} \\ & + \lambda_8(x_n, z)\frac{d(x_n, Tz)[1 + d(z, Tx_n)]}{1 + d(x_n, z) + d(z, Tx_n)} \in \left(\bigcap_{u \in Tx_n} s(u, Tz) \right). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_1(x_n, z)d(x_n, z) + \lambda_2(x_n, z)d(x_n, Tz) + \lambda_3(x_n, z)d(z, Tx_n) + \lambda_4(x_n, z)d(x_n, Tx_n) \\ & + \lambda_5(x_n, z)d(z, Tz) + \lambda_6(x_n, z)\frac{d(z, Tz)[1 + d(x_n, Tx_n)]}{1 + d(x_n, z)} \\ & + \lambda_7(x_n, z)\frac{d(x_n, Tz)[1 + d(x_n, Tx_n)]}{1 + d(x_n, z)} \\ & + \lambda_8(x_n, z)\frac{d(x_n, Tz)[1 + d(z, Tx_n)]}{1 + d(x_n, z) + d(z, Tx_n)} \in s(u, Tz), \forall u \in T_n. \end{aligned}$$

Since $x_{n+1} \in Tx_n$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_1(x_n, z)d(x_n, z) + \lambda_2(x_n, z)d(x_n, Tz) + \lambda_3(x_n, z)d(z, Tx_n) + \lambda_4(x_n, z)d(x_n, Tx_n) \\ & + \lambda_5(x_n, z)d(z, Tz) + \lambda_6(x_n, z)\frac{d(z, Tz)[1 + d(x_n, Tx_n)]}{1 + d(x_n, z)} \\ & + \lambda_7(x_n, z)\frac{d(x_n, Tz)[1 + d(x_n, Tx_n)]}{1 + d(x_n, z)} \\ & + \lambda_8(x_n, z)\frac{d(x_n, Tz)[1 + d(z, Tx_n)]}{1 + d(x_n, z) + d(z, Tx_n)} \in s(x_{n+1}, Tz). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_1(x_n, z)d(x_n, z) + \lambda_2(x_n, z)d(x_n, Tz) + \lambda_3(x_n, z)d(z, Tx_n) + \lambda_4(x_n, z)d(x_n, Tx_n) \\ & + \lambda_5(x_n, z)d(z, Tz) + \lambda_6(x_n, z)\frac{d(z, Tz)[1 + d(x_n, Tx_n)]}{1 + d(x_n, z)} \\ & + \lambda_7(x_n, z)\frac{d(x_n, Tz)[1 + d(x_n, Tx_n)]}{1 + d(x_n, z)} \\ & + \lambda_8(x_n, z)\frac{d(x_n, Tz)[1 + d(z, Tx_n)]}{1 + d(x_n, z) + d(z, Tx_n)} \in \left(\bigcup_{u^* \in Tz} s(d(x_{n+1}, u^*)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

So, there exists some $z_n \in Tz$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_1(x_n, z)d(x_n, z) + \lambda_2(x_n, z)d(x_n, Tz) + \lambda_3(x_n, z)d(z, Tx_n) + \lambda_4(x_n, z)d(x_n, Tx_n) \\ & + \lambda_5(x_n, z)d(z, Tz) + \lambda_6(x_n, z)\frac{d(z, Tz)[1 + d(x_n, Tx_n)]}{1 + d(x_n, z)} \\ & + \lambda_7(x_n, z)\frac{d(x_n, Tz)[1 + d(x_n, Tx_n)]}{1 + d(x_n, z)} \\ & + \lambda_8(x_n, z)\frac{d(x_n, Tz)[1 + d(z, Tx_n)]}{1 + d(x_n, z) + d(z, Tx_n)} \in s(d(x_{n+1}, z_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by definition we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, z_n) & \preceq \lambda_1(x_n, z)d(x_n, z) + \lambda_2(x_n, z)d(x_n, Tz) + \lambda_3(x_n, z)d(z, Tx_n) \\ & + \lambda_4(x_n, z)d(x_n, Tx_n) + \lambda_5(x_n, z)d(z, Tz) \\ & + \lambda_6(x_n, z)\frac{d(z, Tz)[1 + d(x_n, Tx_n)]}{1 + d(x_n, z)} \\ & + \lambda_7(x_n, z)\frac{d(x_n, Tz)[1 + d(x_n, Tx_n)]}{1 + d(x_n, z)} \\ & + \lambda_8(x_n, z)\frac{d(x_n, Tz)[1 + d(z, Tx_n)]}{1 + d(x_n, z) + d(z, Tx_n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the g.l.b property of T and Lemma 3.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, z_n) & \preceq \lambda_1(x_0, z)d(x_n, z) + \lambda_2(x_0, z)d(x_n, z_n) + \lambda_3(x_0, z)d(z, x_{n+1}) \\ & + \lambda_4(x_0, z)d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \lambda_5(x_0, z)d(z, z_n) \\ & + \lambda_6(x_0, z)\frac{d(z, z_n)[1 + d(x_n, x_{n+1})]}{1 + d(x_n, z)} \\ & + \lambda_7(x_0, z)\frac{d(x_n, z_n)[1 + d(x_n, x_{n+1})]}{1 + d(x_n, z)} \\ & + \lambda_8(x_0, z)\frac{d(x_n, z_n)[1 + d(z, x_{n+1})]}{1 + d(x_n, z) + d(z, x_{n+1})}. \end{aligned}$$

By (D_4) of Definition 2.1, we have

$$d(z, z_n) \preceq d(z, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, z_n)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, z_n) & \preceq d(z, x_{n+1}) + \lambda_1(x_0, z)d(x_n, z) + \lambda_2(x_0, z)d(x_n, z_n) + \lambda_3(x_0, z)d(z, x_{n+1}) \\ & + \lambda_4(x_0, z)d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \lambda_5(x_0, z)d(z, z_n) \\ & + \lambda_6(x_0, z)\frac{d(z, z_n)[1 + d(x_n, x_{n+1})]}{1 + d(x_n, z)} \\ & + \lambda_7(x_0, z)\frac{d(x_n, z_n)[1 + d(x_n, x_{n+1})]}{1 + d(x_n, z)} \\ & + \lambda_8(x_0, z)\frac{d(x_n, z_n)[1 + d(z, x_{n+1})]}{1 + d(x_n, z) + d(z, x_{n+1})}. \end{aligned}$$

Which implies

$$\begin{aligned}
|d(z, z_n)| &\leq d(z, x_{n+1}) + \lambda_1(x_0, z)|d(x_n, z)| + \lambda_2(x_0, z)|d(x_n, z_n)| \\
&+ \lambda_3(x_0, z)|d(z, x_{n+1})| + \lambda_4(x_0, z)|d(x_n, x_{n+1})| + \lambda_5(x_0, z)|d(z, z_n)| \\
&+ \lambda_6(x_0, z)\left|\frac{d(z, z_n)[1 + d(x_n, x_{n+1})]}{1 + d(x_n, z)}\right| \\
&+ \lambda_7(x_0, z)\left|\frac{d(x_n, z_n)[1 + d(x_n, x_{n+1})]}{1 + d(x_n, z)}\right| \\
&+ \lambda_8(x_0, z)\left|\frac{d(x_n, z_n)[1 + d(z, x_{n+1})]}{1 + d(x_n, z) + d(z, x_{n+1})}\right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|d(z, z_n)| &\leq \lambda_2(x_0, z)|d(z, z_n)| + \lambda_5(x_0, z)|d(z, z_n)| + \lambda_6(x_0, z)|d(z, z_n)| \\
&+ \lambda_7(x_0, z)|d(z, z_n)| + \lambda_8(x_0, z)|d(z, z_n)| \\
&\leq (\lambda_2(x_0, z) + \lambda_5(x_0, z) + \lambda_6(x_0, z) + \lambda_7(x_0, z) + \lambda_8(x_0, z))|d(z, z_n)| \\
&\leq \theta|d(z, z_n)|,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\theta = \lambda_2(x_0, z) + \lambda_5(x_0, z) + \lambda_6(x_0, z) + \lambda_7(x_0, z) + \lambda_8(x_0, z) < 1.$$

By Lemma 2.1 it implies that $z_n \rightarrow z$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $z \in Tz$ since Tz is closed. Thus, T has a fixed point.

(Uniqueness:) Let $w \neq z$ be another fixed point of T . Then by (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda_1(z, w)d(z, w) + \lambda_2(z, w)d(z, Tw) + \lambda_3(z, w)d(w, Tz) + \lambda_4(z, w)d(z, Tz) \\
&+ \lambda_5(z, w)d(w, Tw) + \lambda_6(z, w)\frac{d(w, Tw)[1 + d(z, Tz)]}{1 + d(z, w)} \\
&+ \lambda_7(z, w)\frac{d(z, Tw)[1 + d(z, Tz)]}{1 + d(z, w)} \\
&+ \lambda_8(z, w)\frac{d(z, Tw)[1 + d(w, Tz)]}{1 + d(z, w) + d(w, Tz)} \in s(Tz, Tw).
\end{aligned}$$

Which implies

$$\begin{aligned}
d(z, w) &\leq \lambda_1(z, w)d(z, w) + \lambda_2(z, w)d(z, Tw) + \lambda_3(z, w)d(w, Tz) \\
&+ \lambda_4(z, w)d(z, Tz) + \lambda_5(z, w)d(w, Tw) + \lambda_6(z, w)\frac{d(w, Tw)[1 + d(z, Tz)]}{1 + d(z, w)} \\
&+ \lambda_7(z, w)\frac{d(z, Tw)[1 + d(z, Tz)]}{1 + d(z, w)} + \lambda_8(z, w)\frac{d(z, Tw)[1 + d(w, Tz)]}{1 + d(z, w) + d(w, Tz)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |d(z, w)| &\leq \lambda_1(z, w)|d(z, w)| + \lambda_2(z, w)|d(z, w)| + \lambda_3(z, w)|d(w, z)| \\ &\quad + \lambda_4(z, w)|d(z, z)| + \lambda_5(z, w)|d(w, w)| + \lambda_6(z, w) \left| \frac{d(w, w)[1 + d(z, z)]}{1 + d(z, w)} \right| \\ &\quad + \lambda_7(z, w) \left| \frac{d(z, w)[1 + d(z, z)]}{1 + d(z, w)} \right| + \lambda_8(z, w) \left| \frac{d(z, w)[1 + d(w, z)]}{1 + d(z, w) + d(w, z)} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |d(z, w)| &\leq \lambda_1(z, w)|d(z, w)| + \lambda_2(z, w)|d(z, w)| + \lambda_3(z, w)|d(w, z)| \\ &\quad + \lambda_7(z, w) \left| \frac{d(z, w)}{1 + d(z, w)} \right| + \lambda_8(z, w) \left| \frac{d(z, w)[1 + d(w, z)]}{1 + d(z, w) + d(w, z)} \right| \\ &\leq [\lambda_1(z, w) + \lambda_2(z, w) + \lambda_3(z, w) + \lambda_7(z, w) + \lambda_8(z, w)]|d(z, w)|. \end{aligned}$$

A contradiction, since

$$\lambda_1(z, w) + \lambda_2(z, w) + \lambda_3(z, w) + \lambda_7(z, w) + \lambda_8(z, w) < 1.$$

Hence z is a unique fixed point of T in X . \square

We deduce the following results from Theorem 3.1 above.

COROLLARY 3.1. *If $\lambda_8 = 0$ and all the other conditions of Theorem 3.1 hold, then T has a unique fixed point.*

COROLLARY 3.2. *If $\lambda_i = 0$ for $i = 7, 8$ and all the other conditions of Theorem 3.1 hold, then T has a unique fixed point.*

COROLLARY 3.3. *If $\lambda_i = 0$ for $i = 6, 7, 8$ and all the other conditions of Theorem 3.1 hold, then T has a unique fixed point.*

COROLLARY 3.4. *If $\lambda_i = 0$ for $i = 2, 3, 4, 5$ and all the other conditions of Theorem 3.1 hold, then T has a unique fixed point.*

REMARK 3.1. *In Theorem 3.1 above, if $\lambda_i = 0$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, 8\}$, then Theorem 3.1 will reduce to many and different results in the literature (see [4, 10, 22]).*

THEOREM 3.2. *Let (X, d) be a complete complex valued metric space, $\lambda_i : X \times X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 9$ and $S, T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a multi-valued mappings with g.l.b property such that for all $x, y \in X$ the following conditions holds:*

- i. $\lambda_i(TSx, y) \leq \lambda_i(x, y)$ and $\lambda_i(x, STy) \leq \lambda_i(x, y)$;

ii.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lambda_1(x, y)d(x, y) + \lambda_2(x, y)\frac{d(x, Sx)d(y, Ty)}{1+d(x, y)} + \lambda_3(x, y)\frac{d(y, Sx)d(x, Ty)}{1+d(x, y)} \\
 & + \lambda_4(x, y)\frac{d(x, Sx)d(x, Ty)}{1+d(x, y)} + \lambda_5(x, y)\frac{d(y, Sx)d(y, Ty)}{1+d(x, y)} \\
 & + \lambda_6(x, y)\frac{d(y, Ty)[d(x, Sx) + d(y, Sx)]}{1+d(x, y) + d(Sx, Ty)} \\
 (3.2) \quad & + \lambda_7(x, y)\frac{d(x, Ty)[d(x, Sx) + d(y, Sx)]}{1+d(x, y) + d(Sx, Ty)} \\
 & + \lambda_8(x, y)\frac{d(x, Sx)[d(x, Ty) + d(y, Ty)]}{1+d(x, y) + d(Sx, Ty)} \\
 & + \lambda_9(x, y)\frac{d(y, Sx)[d(x, Ty) + d(y, Ty)]}{1+d(x, y) + d(Sx, Ty)} \in s(Sx, Ty),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lambda_1(x, y) + \lambda_2(x, y) + \lambda_3(x, y) + 2[\lambda_4(x, y) + \lambda_5(x, y) \\
 & + \lambda_7(x, y) + \lambda_9(x, y)] + 3[\lambda_6(x, y) + \lambda_8(x, y)] < 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

PROOF. (Existence:) Let $x_0 \in X$ (arbitrary) and $x_1 \in Sx_0$. From (3.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lambda_1(x_0, x_1)d(x_0, x_1) + \lambda_2(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, Sx_0)d(x_1, Tx_1)}{1+d(x_0, x_1)} \\
 & + \lambda_3(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_1, Sx_0)d(x_0, Tx_1)}{1+d(x_0, x_1)} + \lambda_4(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, Sx_0)d(x_0, Tx_1)}{1+d(x_0, x_1)} \\
 & + \lambda_5(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_1, Sx_0)d(x_1, Tx_1)}{1+d(x_0, x_1)} \\
 & + \lambda_6(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_1, Tx_1)[d(x_0, Sx_0) + d(x_1, Sx_0)]}{1+d(x_0, x_1) + d(Sx_0, Tx_1)} \\
 & + \lambda_7(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, Tx_1)[d(x_0, Sx_0) + d(x_1, Sx_0)]}{1+d(x_0, x_1) + d(Sx_0, Tx_1)} \\
 & + \lambda_8(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, Sx_0)[d(x_0, Tx_1) + d(x_1, Tx_1)]}{1+d(x_0, x_1) + d(Sx_0, Tx_1)} \\
 & + \lambda_9(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_1, Sx_0)[d(x_0, Tx_1) + d(x_1, Tx_1)]}{1+d(x_0, x_1) + d(Sx_0, Tx_1)} \in s(Sx_0, Tx_1).
 \end{aligned}$$

It implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_1(x_0, x_1)d(x_0, x_1) + \lambda_2(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, Sx_0)d(x_1, Tx_1)}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \\
& + \lambda_3(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_1, Sx_0)d(x_0, Tx_1)}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} + \lambda_4(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, Sx_0)d(x_0, Tx_1)}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \\
& + \lambda_5(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_1, Sx_0)d(x_1, Tx_1)}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \\
& + \lambda_6(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_1, Tx_1)[d(x_0, Sx_0) + d(x_1, Sx_0)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(Sx_0, Tx_1)} \\
& + \lambda_7(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, Tx_1)[d(x_0, Sx_0) + d(x_1, Sx_0)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(Sx_0, Tx_1)} \\
& + \lambda_8(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, Sx_0)[d(x_0, Tx_1) + d(x_1, Tx_1)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(Sx_0, Tx_1)} \\
& + \lambda_9(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_1, Sx_0)[d(x_0, Tx_1) + d(x_1, Tx_1)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(Sx_0, Tx_1)} \in \left(\bigcap_{a \in Sx_0} s(a, Tx_1) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_1(x_0, x_1)d(x_0, x_1) + \lambda_2(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, Sx_0)d(x_1, Tx_1)}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \\
& + \lambda_3(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_1, Sx_0)d(x_0, Tx_1)}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} + \lambda_4(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, Sx_0)d(x_0, Tx_1)}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \\
& + \lambda_5(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_1, Sx_0)d(x_1, Tx_1)}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \\
& + \lambda_6(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_1, Tx_1)[d(x_0, Sx_0) + d(x_1, Sx_0)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(Sx_0, Tx_1)} \\
& + \lambda_7(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, Tx_1)[d(x_0, Sx_0) + d(x_1, Sx_0)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(Sx_0, Tx_1)} \\
& + \lambda_8(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, Sx_0)[d(x_0, Tx_1) + d(x_1, Tx_1)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(Sx_0, Tx_1)} \\
& + \lambda_9(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_1, Sx_0)[d(x_0, Tx_1) + d(x_1, Tx_1)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(Sx_0, Tx_1)} \in s(a, Tx_1), \forall a \in Sx_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $x_1 \in Sx_0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_1(x_0, x_1)d(x_0, x_1) + \lambda_2(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, Sx_0)d(x_1, Tx_1)}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \\
& + \lambda_3(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_1, Sx_0)d(x_0, Tx_1)}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} + \lambda_4(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, Sx_0)d(x_0, Tx_1)}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \\
& + \lambda_5(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_1, Sx_0)d(x_1, Tx_1)}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \\
& + \lambda_6(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_1, Tx_1)[d(x_0, Sx_0) + d(x_1, Sx_0)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(Sx_0, Tx_1)} \\
& + \lambda_7(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, Tx_1)[d(x_0, Sx_0) + d(x_1, Sx_0)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(Sx_0, Tx_1)} \\
& + \lambda_8(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, Sx_0)[d(x_0, Tx_1) + d(x_1, Tx_1)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(Sx_0, Tx_1)} \\
& + \lambda_9(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_1, Sx_0)[d(x_0, Tx_1) + d(x_1, Tx_1)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(Sx_0, Tx_1)} \in s(x_1, Tx_1).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_1(x_0, x_1)d(x_0, x_1) + \lambda_2(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, Sx_0)d(x_1, Tx_1)}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \\
& + \lambda_3(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_1, Sx_0)d(x_0, Tx_1)}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} + \lambda_4(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, Sx_0)d(x_0, Tx_1)}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \\
& + \lambda_5(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_1, Sx_0)d(x_1, Tx_1)}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \\
& + \lambda_6(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_1, Tx_1)[d(x_0, Sx_0) + d(x_1, Sx_0)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(Sx_0, Tx_1)} \\
& + \lambda_7(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, Tx_1)[d(x_0, Sx_0) + d(x_1, Sx_0)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(Sx_0, Tx_1)} \\
& + \lambda_8(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, Sx_0)[d(x_0, Tx_1) + d(x_1, Tx_1)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(Sx_0, Tx_1)} \\
& + \lambda_9(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_1, Sx_0)[d(x_0, Tx_1) + d(x_1, Tx_1)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(Sx_0, Tx_1)} \in \left(\bigcup_{b \in Tx_1} s(d(x_1, b)) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there exists some $x_2 \in Tx_1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_1(x_0, x_1)d(x_0, x_1) + \lambda_2(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, Sx_0)d(x_1, Tx_1)}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \\
& + \lambda_3(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_1, Sx_0)d(x_0, Tx_1)}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} + \lambda_4(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, Sx_0)d(x_0, Tx_1)}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \\
& + \lambda_5(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_1, Sx_0)d(x_1, Tx_1)}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \\
& + \lambda_6(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_1, Tx_1)[d(x_0, Sx_0) + d(x_1, Sx_0)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(Sx_0, Tx_1)} \\
& + \lambda_7(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, Tx_1)[d(x_0, Sx_0) + d(x_1, Sx_0)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(Sx_0, Tx_1)} \\
& + \lambda_8(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, Sx_0)[d(x_0, Tx_1) + d(x_1, Tx_1)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(Sx_0, Tx_1)} \\
& + \lambda_9(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_1, Sx_0)[d(x_0, Tx_1) + d(x_1, Tx_1)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(Sx_0, Tx_1)} \in s(d(x_1, x_2)).
\end{aligned}$$

Using definition of $s(\cdot)$ and g.l.b property of S and T , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_1, x_2) & \preceq \lambda_1(x_0, x_1)d(x_0, x_1) + \lambda_2(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, Sx_0)d(x_1, Tx_1)}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \\
& + \lambda_3(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_1, Sx_0)d(x_0, Tx_1)}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \\
& + \lambda_4(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, Sx_0)d(x_0, Tx_1)}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \\
& + \lambda_5(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_1, Sx_0)d(x_1, Tx_1)}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \\
& + \lambda_6(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_1, Tx_1)[d(x_0, Sx_0) + d(x_1, Sx_0)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(Sx_0, Tx_1)} \\
& + \lambda_7(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, Tx_1)[d(x_0, Sx_0) + d(x_1, Sx_0)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(Sx_0, Tx_1)} \\
& + \lambda_8(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, Sx_0)[d(x_0, Tx_1) + d(x_1, Tx_1)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(Sx_0, Tx_1)} \\
& + \lambda_9(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_1, Sx_0)[d(x_0, Tx_1) + d(x_1, Tx_1)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(Sx_0, Tx_1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_1, x_2) \leq & \lambda_1(x_0, x_1)d(x_0, x_1) + \lambda_2(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, x_1)d(x_1, x_2)}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \\
& + \lambda_3(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_1, x_2)d(x_0, x_2)}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} + \lambda_4(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, x_1)d(x_0, x_2)}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \\
& + \lambda_5(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_1, x_2)d(x_1, x_2)}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \\
& + \lambda_6(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_1, x_2)[d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(Sx_0, Tx_1)} \\
& + \lambda_7(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, x_2)[d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(Sx_0, Tx_1)} \\
& + \lambda_8(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_0, x_1)[d(x_0, x_2) + d(x_1, x_2)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(Sx_0, Tx_1)} \\
& + \lambda_9(x_0, x_1)\frac{d(x_1, x_2)[d(x_0, x_2) + d(x_1, x_2)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(Sx_0, Tx_1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Which implies

$$\begin{aligned}
|d(x_1, x_2)| \leq & \lambda_1(x_0, x_1)|d(x_0, x_1)| + \lambda_2(x_0, x_1)\left|\frac{d(x_0, x_1)d(x_1, x_2)}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)}\right| \\
& + \lambda_3(x_0, x_1)\left|\frac{d(x_1, x_2)d(x_0, x_2)}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)}\right| + \lambda_4(x_0, x_1)\left|\frac{d(x_0, x_1)d(x_0, x_2)}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)}\right| \\
& + \lambda_5(x_0, x_1)\left|\frac{d(x_1, x_2)d(x_1, x_2)}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)}\right| \\
& + \lambda_6(x_0, x_1)\left|\frac{d(x_1, x_2)[d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2)}\right| \\
& + \lambda_7(x_0, x_1)\left|\frac{d(x_0, x_2)[d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2)}\right| \\
& + \lambda_8(x_0, x_1)\left|\frac{d(x_0, x_1)[d(x_0, x_2) + d(x_1, x_2)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2)}\right| \\
& + \lambda_9(x_0, x_1)\left|\frac{d(x_1, x_2)[d(x_0, x_2) + d(x_1, x_2)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2)}\right|.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|d(x_1, x_2)| &\leq \lambda_1(x_0, x_1)|d(x_0, x_1)| + \lambda_2(x_0, x_1) \left| \frac{d(x_0, x_1)d(x_1, x_2)}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \right| \\
&\quad + \lambda_4(x_0, x_1) \left| \frac{d(x_0, x_1)d(x_0, x_2)}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \right| + \lambda_6(x_0, x_1) \left| \frac{d(x_1, x_2)d(x_0, x_1)}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2)} \right| \\
&\quad + \lambda_7(x_0, x_1) \left| \frac{d(x_0, x_2)d(x_0, x_1)}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2)} \right| \\
&\quad + \lambda_8(x_0, x_1) \left| \frac{d(x_0, x_1)[d(x_0, x_2) + d(x_1, x_2)]}{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2)} \right| \\
&\leq \lambda_1(x_0, x_1)|d(x_0, x_1)| + \lambda_2(x_0, x_1)|d(x_1, x_2)| \\
&\quad + \lambda_4(x_0, x_1)|d(x_0, x_2)| + \lambda_6(x_0, x_1)|d(x_1, x_2)| \\
&\quad + \lambda_7(x_0, x_1)|d(x_0, x_1)| + \lambda_8(x_0, x_1)|d(x_0, x_2) + d(x_1, x_2)| \\
&\leq \lambda_1(x_0, x_1)|d(x_0, x_1)| + \lambda_2(x_0, x_1)|d(x_1, x_2)| + \lambda_4(x_0, x_1)|d(x_0, x_1)| \\
&\quad + \lambda_4(x_0, x_1)|d(x_1, x_2)| + \lambda_6(x_0, x_1)|d(x_1, x_2)| \\
&\quad + \lambda_7(x_0, x_1)|d(x_0, x_1)| + \lambda_7(x_0, x_1)|d(x_1, x_2)| \\
&\quad + \lambda_8(x_0, x_1)|d(x_0, x_1)| + 2\lambda_8(x_0, x_1)|d(x_1, x_2)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$|d(x_1, x_2)| \leq k|d(x_0, x_1)|,$$

where

$$k = \frac{\lambda_1(x_0, x_1) + \lambda_4(x_0, x_1) + \lambda_7(x_0, x_1) + \lambda_8(x_0, x_1)}{1 - (\lambda_2(x_0, x_1) + \lambda_4(x_0, x_1) + \lambda_6(x_0, x_1) + \lambda_7(x_0, x_1) + 2\lambda_8(x_0, x_1))} < 1.$$

Inductively, we can construct a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ we have

$$|d(x_n, x_{n+1})| \leq k^n |d(x_0, x_1)|, \quad x_{2n+1} \in Sx_{2n} \text{ and } x_{2n+2} \in Tx_{2n}.$$

Now for $m > n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|d(x_n, x_m)| &\leq |d(x_n, x_{n+1})| + |d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})| + \cdots + |d(x_{m-1}, x_m)| \\
&\leq [k^n + k^{n+1} + \cdots + k^{m-1}]|d(x_0, x_1)| \\
&\leq \left[\frac{k^n}{1-k} \right] |d(x_0, x_1)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$|d(x_n, x_m)| \leq \left[\frac{k^n}{1-k} \right] |d(x_0, x_1)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n, m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . By the completeness of X there exists $u \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = u$.

Now, we show that $u \in Su$ and $u \in Tu$. From (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_1(x_{2n}, u)d(x_{2n}, u) + \lambda_2(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n})d(u, Tu)}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u)} \\ & + \lambda_3(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(u, Sx_{2n})d(x_{2n}, Tu)}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u)} + \lambda_4(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n})d(x_{2n}, Tu)}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u)} \\ & + \lambda_5(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(u, Sx_{2n})d(u, Tu)}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u)} \\ & + \lambda_6(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(u, Tu)[d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) + d(u, Sx_{2n})]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u) + d(Sx_{2n}, Tu)} \\ & + \lambda_7(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(x_{2n}, Tu)[d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) + d(u, Sx_{2n})]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u) + d(Sx_{2n}, Tu)} \\ & + \lambda_8(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n})[d(x_{2n}, Tu) + d(u, Tu)]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u) + d(Sx_{2n}, Tu)} \\ & + \lambda_9(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(u, Sx_{2n})[d(x_{2n}, Tu) + d(u, Tu)]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u) + d(Sx_{2n}, Tu)} \in s(Sx_{2n}, Tu). \end{aligned}$$

Which implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_1(x_{2n}, u)d(x_{2n}, u) + \lambda_2(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n})d(u, Tu)}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u)} \\ & + \lambda_3(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(u, Sx_{2n})d(x_{2n}, Tu)}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u)} + \lambda_4(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n})d(x_{2n}, Tu)}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u)} \\ & + \lambda_5(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(u, Sx_{2n})d(u, Tu)}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u)} \\ & + \lambda_6(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(u, Tu)[d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) + d(u, Sx_{2n})]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u) + d(Sx_{2n}, Tu)} \\ & + \lambda_7(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(x_{2n}, Tu)[d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) + d(u, Sx_{2n})]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u) + d(Sx_{2n}, Tu)} \\ & + \lambda_8(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n})[d(x_{2n}, Tu) + d(u, Tu)]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u) + d(Sx_{2n}, Tu)} \\ & + \lambda_9(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(u, Sx_{2n})[d(x_{2n}, Tu) + d(u, Tu)]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u) + d(Sx_{2n}, Tu)} \in \left(\bigcap_{v \in Sx_{2n}} s(v, Tu) \right). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_1(x_{2n}, u)d(x_{2n}, u) + \lambda_2(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n})d(u, Tu)}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u)} \\
& + \lambda_3(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(u, Sx_{2n})d(x_{2n}, Tu)}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u)} + \lambda_4(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n})d(x_{2n}, Tu)}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u)} \\
& + \lambda_5(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(u, Sx_{2n})d(u, Tu)}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u)} \\
& + \lambda_6(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(u, Tu)[d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) + d(u, Sx_{2n})]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u) + d(Sx_{2n}, Tu)} \\
& + \lambda_7(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(x_{2n}, Tu)[d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) + d(u, Sx_{2n})]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u) + d(Sx_{2n}, Tu)} \\
& + \lambda_8(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n})[d(x_{2n}, Tu) + d(u, Tu)]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u) + d(Sx_{2n}, Tu)} \\
& + \lambda_9(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(u, Sx_{2n})[d(x_{2n}, Tu) + d(u, Tu)]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u) + d(Sx_{2n}, Tu)} \in s(v, Tu), \forall v \in Sx_{2n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $x_{2n+1} \in Sx_{2n}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_1(x_{2n}, u)d(x_{2n}, u) + \lambda_2(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n})d(u, Tu)}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u)} \\
& + \lambda_3(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(u, Sx_{2n})d(x_{2n}, Tu)}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u)} + \lambda_4(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n})d(x_{2n}, Tu)}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u)} \\
& + \lambda_5(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(u, Sx_{2n})d(u, Tu)}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u)} \\
& + \lambda_6(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(u, Tu)[d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) + d(u, Sx_{2n})]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u) + d(Sx_{2n}, Tu)} \\
& + \lambda_7(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(x_{2n}, Tu)[d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) + d(u, Sx_{2n})]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u) + d(Sx_{2n}, Tu)} \\
& + \lambda_8(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n})[d(x_{2n}, Tu) + d(u, Tu)]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u) + d(Sx_{2n}, Tu)} \\
& + \lambda_9(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(u, Sx_{2n})[d(x_{2n}, Tu) + d(u, Tu)]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u) + d(Sx_{2n}, Tu)} \in s(x_{2n+1}, Tu).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_1(x_{2n}, u)d(x_{2n}, u) + \lambda_2(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n})d(u, Tu)}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u)} \\
& + \lambda_3(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(u, Sx_{2n})d(x_{2n}, Tu)}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u)} + \lambda_4(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n})d(x_{2n}, Tu)}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u)} \\
& + \lambda_5(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(u, Sx_{2n})d(u, Tu)}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u)} \\
& + \lambda_6(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(u, Tu)[d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) + d(u, Sx_{2n})]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u) + d(Sx_{2n}, Tu)} \\
& + \lambda_7(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(x_{2n}, Tu)[d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) + d(u, Sx_{2n})]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u) + d(Sx_{2n}, Tu)} \\
& + \lambda_8(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n})[d(x_{2n}, Tu) + d(u, Tu)]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u) + d(Sx_{2n}, Tu)} \\
& + \lambda_9(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(u, Sx_{2n})[d(x_{2n}, Tu) + d(u, Tu)]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u) + d(Sx_{2n}, Tu)} \\
& \in \left(\bigcup_{a^* \in Tu} s(d(x_{2n+1}, a^*)) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

So it implies that, there exists some $u_n \in Tu$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_1(x_{2n}, u)d(x_{2n}, u) + \lambda_2(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n})d(u, Tu)}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u)} \\
& + \lambda_3(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(u, Sx_{2n})d(x_{2n}, Tu)}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u)} \\
& + \lambda_4(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n})d(x_{2n}, Tu)}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u)} + \lambda_5(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(u, Sx_{2n})d(u, Tu)}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u)} \\
& + \lambda_6(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(u, Tu)[d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) + d(u, Sx_{2n})]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u) + d(Sx_{2n}, Tu)} \\
& + \lambda_7(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(x_{2n}, Tu)[d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) + d(u, Sx_{2n})]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u) + d(Sx_{2n}, Tu)} \\
& + \lambda_8(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n})[d(x_{2n}, Tu) + d(u, Tu)]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u) + d(Sx_{2n}, Tu)} \\
& + \lambda_9(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(u, Sx_{2n})[d(x_{2n}, Tu) + d(u, Tu)]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u) + d(Sx_{2n}, Tu)} \\
& \in s(d(x_{2n+1}, u_n)).
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the definition of $s(\cdot)$, Proposition 3.1 and the g.l.b property of S and T , we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{2n+1}, u_n) &\preceq \lambda_1(x_{2n}, u)d(x_{2n}, u) + \lambda_2(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n})d(u, Tu)}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u)} \\ &\quad + \lambda_3(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(u, Sx_{2n})d(x_{2n}, Tu)}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u)} \\ &\quad + \lambda_4(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n})d(x_{2n}, Tu)}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u)} \\ &\quad + \lambda_5(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(u, Sx_{2n})d(u, Tu)}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u)} \\ &\quad + \lambda_6(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(u, Tu)[d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) + d(u, Sx_{2n})]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u) + d(Sx_{2n}, Tu)} \\ &\quad + \lambda_7(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(x_{2n}, Tu)[d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) + d(u, Sx_{2n})]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u) + d(Sx_{2n}, Tu)} \\ &\quad + \lambda_8(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n})[d(x_{2n}, Tu) + d(u, Tu)]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u) + d(Sx_{2n}, Tu)} \\ &\quad + \lambda_9(x_{2n}, u)\frac{d(u, Sx_{2n})[d(x_{2n}, Tu) + d(u, Tu)]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u) + d(Sx_{2n}, Tu)}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{2n+1}, u_n) &\preceq \lambda_1(x_0, u)d(x_{2n}, u) + \lambda_2(x_0, u)\frac{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})d(u, u_n)}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u)} \\ &\quad + \lambda_3(x_0, u)\frac{d(u, x_{2n+1})d(x_{2n}, u_n)}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u)} \\ &\quad + \lambda_4(x_0, u)\frac{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})d(x_{2n}, u_n)}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u)} + \lambda_5(x_0, u)\frac{d(u, x_{2n+1})d(u, u_n)}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u)} \\ &\quad + \lambda_6(x_0, u)\frac{d(u, u_n)[d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(u, x_{2n+1})]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u) + d(x_{2n+1}, u_n)} \\ &\quad + \lambda_7(x_0, u)\frac{d(x_{2n}, u_n)[d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(u, x_{2n+1})]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u) + d(x_{2n+1}, u_n)} \\ &\quad + \lambda_8(x_0, u)\frac{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})[d(x_{2n}, u_n) + d(u, u_n)]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u) + d(x_{2n+1}, u_n)} \\ &\quad + \lambda_9(x_0, u)\frac{d(u, x_{2n+1})[d(x_{2n}, u_n) + d(u, u_n)]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u) + d(x_{2n+1}, u_n)}. \end{aligned}$$

From (D_4) of Definition 2.1, we have

$$d(u, u_n) \preceq d(u, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, u_n).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
d(u, u_n) &\leq d(u, x_{2n+1}) + \lambda_1(x_0, u)d(x_{2n}, u) + \lambda_2(x_0, u)\frac{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})d(u, u_n)}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u)} \\
&+ \lambda_3(x_0, u)\frac{d(u, x_{2n+1})d(x_{2n}, u_n)}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u)} + \lambda_4(x_0, u)\frac{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})d(x_{2n}, u_n)}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u)} \\
&+ \lambda_5(x_0, u)\frac{d(u, x_{2n+1})d(u, u_n)}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u)} \\
&+ \lambda_6(x_0, u)\frac{d(u, u_n)[d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(u, x_{2n+1})]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u) + d(x_{2n+1}, u_n)} \\
&+ \lambda_7(x_0, u)\frac{d(x_{2n}, u_n)[d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(u, x_{2n+1})]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u) + d(x_{2n+1}, u_n)} \\
&+ \lambda_8(x_0, u)\frac{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})[d(x_{2n}, u_n) + d(u, u_n)]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u) + d(x_{2n+1}, u_n)} \\
&+ \lambda_9(x_0, u)\frac{d(u, x_{2n+1})[d(x_{2n}, u_n) + d(u, u_n)]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u) + d(x_{2n+1}, u_n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
|d(u, u_n)| &\leq |d(u, x_{2n+1})| + \lambda_1(x_0, u)|d(x_{2n}, u)| \\
&+ \lambda_2(x_0, u)\left|\frac{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})d(u, u_n)}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u)}\right| + \lambda_3(x_0, u)\left|\frac{d(u, x_{2n+1})d(x_{2n}, u_n)}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u)}\right| \\
&+ \lambda_4(x_0, u)\left|\frac{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})d(x_{2n}, u_n)}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u)}\right| + \lambda_5(x_0, u)\left|\frac{d(u, x_{2n+1})d(u, u_n)}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u)}\right| \\
&+ \lambda_6(x_0, u)\left|\frac{d(u, u_n)[d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(u, x_{2n+1})]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u) + d(x_{2n+1}, u_n)}\right| \\
&+ \lambda_7(x_0, u)\left|\frac{d(x_{2n}, u_n)[d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(u, x_{2n+1})]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u) + d(x_{2n+1}, u_n)}\right| \\
&+ \lambda_8(x_0, u)\left|\frac{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})[d(x_{2n}, u_n) + d(u, u_n)]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u) + d(x_{2n+1}, u_n)}\right| \\
&+ \lambda_9(x_0, u)\left|\frac{d(u, x_{2n+1})[d(x_{2n}, u_n) + d(u, u_n)]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u) + d(x_{2n+1}, u_n)}\right|.
\end{aligned}$$

By letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality, we have

$$|d(u, u_n)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, $u_n \rightarrow u$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ by Lemma 2.1, also since Tu is closed then $u \in Tu$. Similarly, taking $x = x_{2n+1}$ and $y = u$ in (3.2) it follows that $u \in Su$. Therefore, S and T have a common fixed point.

(Uniqueness:) Let $t \neq u$ be another common fixed point of S and T . Then by (3.2),

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_1(u, t)d(u, t) + \lambda_2(u, t)\frac{d(u, Su)d(t, Tt)}{1 + d(u, t)} + \lambda_3(u, t)\frac{d(t, Su)d(u, Tt)}{1 + d(u, t)} \\
& + \lambda_4(u, t)\frac{d(u, Su)d(u, Tt)}{1 + d(u, t)} + \lambda_5(u, t)\frac{d(t, Su)d(t, Tt)}{1 + d(u, t)} \\
& + \lambda_6(u, t)\frac{d(t, Tt)[d(u, Su) + d(t, Su)]}{1 + d(u, t) + d(Su, Tt)} \\
& + \lambda_7(u, t)\frac{d(u, Tt)[d(u, Su) + d(t, Su)]}{1 + d(u, t) + d(Su, Tt)} \\
& + \lambda_8(u, t)\frac{d(u, Su)[d(u, Tt) + d(t, Tt)]}{1 + d(u, t) + d(Su, Tt)} \\
& + \lambda_9(u, t)\frac{d(t, Su)[d(u, Tt) + d(t, Tt)]}{1 + d(u, t) + d(Su, Tt)} \in s(Su, Tt).
\end{aligned}$$

Which implies

$$\begin{aligned}
d(u, t) & \preceq \lambda_1(u, t)d(u, t) + \lambda_2(u, t)\frac{d(u, Su)d(t, Tt)}{1 + d(u, t)} + \lambda_3(u, t)\frac{d(t, Su)d(u, Tt)}{1 + d(u, t)} \\
& + \lambda_4(u, t)\frac{d(u, Su)d(u, Tt)}{1 + d(u, t)} + \lambda_5(u, t)\frac{d(t, Su)d(t, Tt)}{1 + d(u, t)} \\
& + \lambda_6(u, t)\frac{d(t, Tt)[d(u, Su) + d(t, Su)]}{1 + d(u, t) + d(Su, Tt)} \\
& + \lambda_7(u, t)\frac{d(u, Tt)[d(u, Su) + d(t, Su)]}{1 + d(u, t) + d(Su, Tt)} \\
& + \lambda_8(u, t)\frac{d(u, Su)[d(u, Tt) + d(t, Tt)]}{1 + d(u, t) + d(Su, Tt)} \\
& + \lambda_9(u, t)\frac{d(t, Su)[d(u, Tt) + d(t, Tt)]}{1 + d(u, t) + d(Su, Tt)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
|d(u, t)| & \leq \lambda_1(u, t)|d(u, t)| + \lambda_2(u, t)\left|\frac{d(u, u)d(t, t)}{1 + d(u, t)}\right| + \lambda_3(u, t)\left|\frac{d(t, u)d(u, t)}{1 + d(u, t)}\right| \\
& + \lambda_4(u, t)\left|\frac{d(u, u)d(u, t)}{1 + d(u, t)}\right| + \lambda_5(u, t)\left|\frac{d(t, u)d(t, t)}{1 + d(u, t)}\right| \\
& + \lambda_6(u, t)\left|\frac{d(t, t)[d(u, u) + d(t, u)]}{1 + d(u, t) + d(u, t)}\right| \\
& + \lambda_7(u, t)\left|\frac{d(u, t)[d(u, u) + d(t, u)]}{1 + d(u, t) + d(u, t)}\right| \\
& + \lambda_8(u, t)\left|\frac{d(u, u)[d(u, t) + d(t, t)]}{1 + d(u, t) + d(u, t)}\right| \\
& + \lambda_9(u, t)\left|\frac{d(t, u)[d(u, t) + d(t, t)]}{1 + d(u, t) + d(u, t)}\right|.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|d(u, t)| &\leq \lambda_1(u, t)|d(u, t)| + \lambda_3(u, t) \left| \frac{d(t, u)d(u, t)}{1 + d(u, t)} \right| \\
&\quad + \lambda_7(u, t) \left| \frac{d(u, t)d(t, u)}{1 + 2d(u, t)} \right| + \lambda_9(u, t) \left| \frac{d(t, u)d(u, t)}{1 + 2d(u, t)} \right| \\
&\leq [\lambda_1(u, t) + \lambda_3(u, t) + \lambda_7(u, t) + \lambda_9(u, t)]|d(u, t)| \\
&\leq \gamma|d(u, t)|.
\end{aligned}$$

A contradiction, since $\gamma < 1$. Thus, $u = t$ is a unique common fixed point of S and T in X . \square

In similar way with Theorem 3.1, we can deduce the following results from Theorem 3.2 above.

COROLLARY 3.5. *If $S = T$ and all the other conditions of Theorem 3.2 hold, then T has a unique fixed point.*

COROLLARY 3.6. *Suppose that $\lambda_9 = 0$ and $S, T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be multi-valued mappings such that all the other conditions of Theorem 3.2 hold, then S and T have a unique common fixed point.*

COROLLARY 3.7. *Suppose that $\lambda_8 = 0$ and $S, T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be multi-valued mappings such that all the other conditions of Theorem 3.2 hold, then S and T have a unique common fixed point.*

COROLLARY 3.8. *Suppose that $\lambda_i = 0$ for $i = 8, 9$ and $S, T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be multi-valued mappings such that all the other conditions of Theorem 3.2 hold, then S and T have a unique common fixed point.*

COROLLARY 3.9. *Suppose that $\lambda_7 = 0$ and $S, T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be multi-valued mappings such that all the other conditions of Theorem 3.2 hold, then S and T have a unique common fixed point.*

COROLLARY 3.10. *Suppose that $\lambda_i = 0$ for $i = 7, 8, 9$ and $S, T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be multi-valued mappings such that all the other conditions of Theorem 3.2 hold, then S and T have a unique common fixed point.*

COROLLARY 3.11. *Suppose that $\lambda_6 = 0$ and $S, T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be multi-valued mappings such that all the other conditions of Theorem 3.2 hold, then S and T have a unique common fixed point.*

COROLLARY 3.12. *Suppose that $\lambda_i = 0$ for $i = 6, 8, 9$ and $S, T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be multi-valued mappings such that all the other conditions of Theorem 3.2 hold, then S and T have a unique common fixed point.*

COROLLARY 3.13. *Suppose that $\lambda_i = 0$ for $i = 2, 6, 7$ and $S, T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be multi-valued mappings such that all the other conditions of Theorem 3.2 hold, then S and T have a unique common fixed point.*

COROLLARY 3.14. *Suppose that $\lambda_i = 0$ for $i = 2, 6, 7, 8, 9$ and $S, T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be multi-valued mappings such that all the other conditions of Theorem 3.2 hold, then S and T have a unique common fixed point.*

COROLLARY 3.15. Suppose that $\lambda_i = 0$ for $i = 3, 6, 7$ and $S, T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be multi-valued mappings such that all the other conditions of Theorem 3.2 hold, then S and T have a unique common fixed point.

COROLLARY 3.16. Suppose that $\lambda_i = 0$ for $i = 3, 6, 7, 8, 9$ and $S, T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be multi-valued mappings such that all the other conditions of Theorem 3.2 hold, then S and T have a unique common fixed point.

REMARK 3.2. In Theorem 3.2 above, if $\lambda_i = 0$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, 9\}$, then Theorem 3.2 will reduce to many and different results in the literature (see [9, 10, 11, 22]).

THEOREM 3.3. Let (X, d) be a complete complex valued metric space, $\lambda_i : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 9$ and $S, T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a multi-valued mappings with g.l.b property such that for all $x, y \in X$ the following conditions holds:

- i. $\lambda_i(TSx) \leq \lambda_i(x)$ and $\lambda_i(STx) \leq \lambda_i(x)$;
- ii.

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_1(x)d(x, y) + \lambda_2(x) \frac{d(x, Sx)d(y, Ty)}{1 + d(x, y)} + \lambda_3(x) \frac{d(y, Sx)d(x, Ty)}{1 + d(x, y)} \\ & + \lambda_4(x) \frac{d(x, Sx)d(x, Ty)}{1 + d(x, y)} + \lambda_5(x) \frac{d(y, Sx)d(y, Ty)}{1 + d(x, y)} \\ & + \lambda_6(x) \frac{d(y, Ty)[d(x, Sx) + d(y, Sx)]}{1 + d(x, y) + d(Sx, Ty)} \\ & + \lambda_7(x) \frac{d(x, Ty)[d(x, Sx) + d(y, Sx)]}{1 + d(x, y) + d(Sx, Ty)} \\ & + \lambda_8(x) \frac{d(x, Sx)[d(x, Ty) + d(y, Ty)]}{1 + d(x, y) + d(Sx, Ty)} \\ & + \lambda_9(x) \frac{d(y, Sx)[d(x, Ty) + d(y, Ty)]}{1 + d(x, y) + d(Sx, Ty)} \in s(Sx, Ty), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\lambda_1(x) + \lambda_2(x) + \lambda_3(x) + 2[\lambda_4(x) + \lambda_5(x) + \lambda_7(x) + \lambda_9(x)] + 3[\lambda_6(x) + \lambda_8(x)] < 1.$$

Then, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

PROOF. The proof follows from the prove of Theorem 3.2 and using Lemma 3.2. \square

Consequently, one can deduce the following results from Theorem 3.3 above.

COROLLARY 3.17. If $S = T$ and all the other conditions of Theorem 3.3 hold, then T has a unique fixed point.

COROLLARY 3.18. Suppose that $\lambda_i = 0$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, 9\}$ and $S, T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be multi-valued mappings such that all the other conditions of Theorem 3.3 hold, then S and T have a unique common fixed point.

REMARK 3.3. In Theorem 3.3 above, if $\lambda_i = 0$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, 9\}$, then Theorem 3.3 will reduce to many and different results in the literature (see [3, 10, 17, 21, 22]).

Acknowledgement

The authors wish to thank the editor and anonymous referees for their valuable comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] J. Ahmad, C. Klin-Eam and A. Azam. Common fixed points for multivalued mappings in complex valued metric spaces with applications, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, 2013, Article ID 854965, 2013, 12 pages, doi: 10.1155/2013/854965.
- [2] A. Azam and I. Beg. Common fixed points of fuzzy maps, *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, **49**(7)(2009), 331-1336.
- [3] A. Azam, B. Fisher and M. Khan. Common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces, *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization*, **32**(3)(2011), 243-253.
- [4] S. Banach. Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales, *Fund. Math.*, **3**(1)(1922), 133-181.
- [5] V. V. Chistyakov. Modular metric spaces, I: basic concepts, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, **72**(1)(2010), 1-14.
- [6] M. Edelstein. An extension of Banach's contraction principle, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, **12**(1)(1961), 7-10.
- [7] M. Edelstein. On fixed and periodic points under contractive mappings, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, **37**(1)(1962), 74-79.
- [8] L. Huang and X. Zhang. Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **332**(2)(2007), 1468-1476.
- [9] C. Klin-eam and C. Suanno. Some common fixed-point theorems for generalized-contractive-type mappings on complex-valued metric spaces, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, 2013, Article ID 604215, 2013, 6 pages, doi: 10.1155/2013/604215.
- [10] P. Kumam, M. Sarwar and M. B. Zada. Fixed point results satisfying rational type contractive conditions in complex valued metric spaces, *Annales Mathematicae Silesianae - Seria Mathematica*, **30**(2)(2016), 89-110.
- [11] T. S. Kumar and R. J. Hussain. Common coupled fixed point theorem for contractive type mappings in closed ball of complex valued metric spaces, *Advances in Inequalities and Applications*, **2014**(2014), ID 34.
- [12] M. A. Kutbi and W. Sintunavarat. On new fixed point results for (α, ψ, ξ) -contractive multi-valued mappings on α -complete metric spaces and their consequences, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, 2015, 2015:2, 15 pages, doi: 10.1186/1687-1812-2015-2.
- [13] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims. Some remarks concerning D-metric spaces, *Proceedings of the International Conference on Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, 9(5)(2004), 189-198.
- [14] S. B. Nadler. Multi-valued contraction mappings, *Pacific J. Math.*, **30**(2)(1968), 475-488.
- [15] S. Phiangsungnoen, W. Sintunavarat and P. Kumam. Common α -fuzzy fixed point theorems for fuzzy mappings via β_F -admissible pair, *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, **27**(5)(2014), 2463-2472.
- [16] S. Phiangsungnoen, W. Sintunavarat and P. Kumam. Fuzzy fixed point theorems in Hausdorff fuzzy metric spaces, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, 2014, 2014:201, 10 pages, doi: 10.1186/1029-242X-2014-201.
- [17] F. Rouzkard and M. Imdad. Some common fixed point theorems on complex valued metric spaces, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, **64**(6)(2012), 1866-1874.

- [18] K. P. R. Sastry, G. A. Naidu, T. Bekeshie and M. A. Rahamatulla. A Common Fixed Point Theorem for Four Self Maps in Complex Valued and Vector Valued Metric Spaces, *International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA)*, **3**(7)(2012), 2680-2685.
- [19] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe and I. Altun. A fixed fuzzy point for fuzzy mappings in complete metric spaces, *Mathematical Communications*, **13**(2)(2008), 289-294.
- [20] M. Shoaib and M Sarwar. Multivalued Fixed Point Theorems for Generalized Contractions and Their Applications, *Journal of Mathematics*, 2016, Article ID 5190718, 2016, 8 pages, doi: 10.1155/2016/5190718
- [21] W. Sintunavarat and P. Kumam. Generalized common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces and applications, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, 2012, 2012:84, 12 pages, doi: 10.1186/1029-242X-2012-84.
- [22] K. Sitthikul and S. Saejung. Some fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, 2012, 2012:189, 11 pages, doi: 10.1186/1687-1812-2012-189.

Received by editors 10.02.2017; Revised version 08.04.2017; Available online 17.04.2017.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, USMANU DANFODIYO UNIVERSITY, SOKOTO, NIGERIA
E-mail address: abdullahi.sirajo@udusok.edu.ng

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COMSATS INSTITUTE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, ISLAMABAD, PAKISTAN
E-mail address: akbarazam@yahoo.com