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Abstract: The modern system of the world order is a synthesis of the Yalta–Potsdam system and the onset of the Anglo-Saxon civilization after the victory in the Cold War. The pressure of the West and the resistance to this process determine the transformational character of the modern era. The confrontation between the tendency to assert multipolarity and the line oriented towards violent unipolarity needs to be comprehended. Globalization of the world under the auspices of the Anglo-Saxon center and information maneuvering by it, gave rise to the phenomenon of a given political transformation of the desired territories from centers of power. According to Atlantic political strategists, these territories are defined as nodal in the attack on the interests of a strategic enemy. In the nodal regions of the earth, new orbits of influence, plexuses of forces and interests, springs of enemy coverage are being formed. The destabilization of states created in the XX century, given spaces, territories requires not only a constant reaction of the opponent to protect their sovereignty, the necessary points of support for the stability of self-sufficient centers, but elevates the regions of the earth and individual countries to the rank of responsibility, defining them as vital territories. The tension of the international situation is revealed as a constant factor. The reality of the actors’ relationships is becoming mutually exhausting actions, the consequence of which may be economic exhaustion, military-political mistakes, self-destruction, transformation of the weak in order to further absorb them. The actions of the Russian Federation to strengthen statehood taken in 2022 were a revelation for the West. They declared that the vector of offensive tactics against Russia has been put to an end. The Russian Federation has chosen an offensive position to protect national sovereignty and ensure the stability of the country in the surrounding world. The new tactic makes adjustments to the system of traditional perception of political limology, the practice of looking at the system of borders approved by international treaties. Anti-Russian political processes, which have been actively developing in Ukraine for more than thirty years, could not stop at the demarcation line. They influence not only the EU policy, but also the domestic political situation in the country. It is known that borders symbolize the socio-political practice of spatial differentiation. The whole history of Europe is the history of the redistribution of borders. They occurred when the polarity in the world is changing. The transformation of the world under the influence of the United States and NATO after the collapse of the socialist bloc is aimed precisely at this.
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INTRODUCTION

The analysis of the process of transformation of the modern international political system is relevant both at the theoretical and practical level. Globalism and regionalism, the absorption of countries and their struggle for sovereignty, disintegration and integration, information pressure, Western political technologies and opposition to them, confrontation and dialogue, the use of armed force and peacemaking – these and other adjacent signs characterize modern international relations. The international political system is changing under the influence of a lot of factors: interstate contradictions and conflicts; the invasion of the will of new actors into the international environment; sanctions, information, hybrid wars; the pressure of united Western globalization, the establishment of growing centers of influence, etc. The confrontation between the tendency to assert multipolarity and, unequivocally necessary, international cooperation, on the one hand, and the line focused on violent unipolarity, the dominance of the collective West, on the other, - needs reflection. The purpose of our work is to identify the essence and determine the prospects for the transformation of the modern world order system.

THE APPROVAL OF THE US POLICY OF DOMINANCE IN THE SYSTEM OF INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS

The globalization of the world under the auspices of the Anglo-Saxon center, openly carried out by the flesh until the early 20s of the XXI century, and information maneuvering by it, gave rise to the phenomenon of a given political transformation of the desired territories from centers of power. According to Atlantic political strategists, these territories are defined as nodal in the attack on the interests of a strategic enemy. In the nodal regions of the earth, in the states that have failed to date, new orbits of influence, interweaving of forces and interests, springs of coverage of the geopolitical enemy are constantly being formed. Here, at this historical period, the most sensitive impulses of influence on opponents are concentrated.

The development of the modern political process testifies to the genesis of states, increasing the importance of the region as one of the key components in the system of the modern world order, increasing its importance as an element of structuring the world community. The destabilization of the unformed, newly created states in the XX century, given spaces, territories, according to Atlantic theorists, requires not only a constant reaction of the opponent to protect their sovereignty, the necessary points of support for the stability of self-sufficient centers, but elevates the regions of the earth and individual countries to the rank of responsibility, defining them as vital territories. The tension of the international situation is revealed as a constant factor. The reality of the actors’ relationships is becoming mutually exhausting actions, the consequence of which may be economic exhaustion, military-political mistakes, self-destruction, transformation of the weak in order to further absorb them.

It is clear that this process became especially relevant after the collapse of the socialist system, the collapse of the USSR. The vast territories of Eastern Europe, Transcaucasia and Central Asia have become a testing ground for the analytical centers of the West in the implementation of plans for a further offensive against modern Russia. In a broader aspect, these are: the Arctic, the Balkans, the Middle East,
the Baltic-Pontic Belt, North Africa, Central Asia. These territories have become an arena for leadership and self-assertion.

It can be stated that the international situation has contributed to this process as much as possible. On the one hand, the Russian Federation (hereinafter referred to as the Russian Federation) in the 90s not only became entangled in its liberal-democratic projects, but actually lost its national sovereignty, surrendering to the power of American advisers. Russia has ceased to be a landmark in development and a center of power, has lost its civilizational attractiveness, has become a regional power [Translated by: Brzezinski, 2005]. On the other hand, the states formed in the post-socialist and post-socialist space faced problems that they had to solve for the first time. These are tests of power, and the search for new sources of strength in the struggle for survival.

The victory in the Cold War created a situation where the United States could ignore the defeated enemy. In the new conditions, it became possible for one country, from one center of power, to organize the world in its own image, based on its own interests. In the 90s, a war began not only with a specific enemy, but also against the existing world order. A new world order under the hegemony of the United States is being created against Russia, at the expense of Russia and on the wreckage of Russia. No one else but Z. Brzezinski, could so clearly define the essence of the reconstruction of the world on Atlantic principles. [Translated by: Brzezinski, 2010]. The defeated opponent was given a place. Moreover. It was necessary to transform the territory that had emerged from the influence of the USSR in order to “digest” it more comfortably, integrate it into the Western worldview system, and make “right” decisions. The Russian Federation also played an unenviable role. In order for the country not to have an impact on the world, it simply should not have been. Six or seven new subjects were to be created on the territory of Russia for more convenient involvement in the system of Atlantic values. The era of long-term international primacy of the United States was coming. This seemed to be a condition for the growth of the welfare and security of Americans, the development of freedom, democracy, open economies and international order on Earth. America was moving to direct control of Eurasia.

**DOCTRINES AND PRACTICES OF REFORMATTING THE WORLD SPACE**

In a short time, in the strategic centers of the North Atlantic bloc, concepts of conquest, coverage of the formed conglomerate of countries, and their involvement in their orbit were developed. The implantation of the American system of world perception and interests determined the strategy of actions in this process. The concept of “proxy war” has undergone creative processing – an indirect war that is being waged between two powers through a third party - a war by someone else’s hands. Dozens of conflicts between countries in the post-socialist space, which tried to achieve their goals through military actions, are now faced with the blatant imposition of interests and the tacit presence on their territory of a third country (read – USA - V.B.), which invaded under the guise of resolving an internal conflict. Wars waged by someone else’s hands have become the most attractive means of conquering new territories, the cheapest way to achieve national goals, the cheapest insur-
ance in the offensive against the national interests of opponents. The inciting of ethnic, social, religious, military conflicts now increasingly included: attitude to the system of Atlantic values; the arsenal of massive informational influence; the restructuring of territorial managers to new centers of influence, foreign non-governmental, public organizations and private paramilitary structures, the number of which began to grow. The mercenaries always acted not explicitly, camouflaged themselves under the local protest element. According to the American expert on counterinsurgency actions E. Mumford, private military companies began to determine not only the national security policy in Western countries for the use of foreign territories, but increasingly participate in the implementation of state affairs. [Mumford, 2013].

In the new conditions, the theoretical art of the West in the field of geopolitics, conceptual doctrines and theories of the construction of the world space unfolded in full force. On the fruitful ground of the development of US geopolitical thought, theories and doctrines appeared on the destabilization of given, strategically important territories, their subordination to the Atlantic center of globalization. The previously traditional categories of power, such as: war, force, methods of coercion, sources of influence and management, indirect participation, control, etc., have undergone a new understanding. They were replaced by categories of comprehension of space and actions: transformation, mind control and the formation of a new mentality, personality traits and their management, factors of civilizational attractiveness, cultural priorities and program guidelines, network wars, cyber attacks, etc. Territories fell into the sphere of influence by spreading a new arsenal of influence. The concepts of “soft power” and “smart power”, the theory of “controlled chaos”, which became widespread in the 90s of the XX century and were the conceptual basis for the preparation and conduct of “color revolutions” in the countries of the world, had a particularly effective impact on the world. In order to more successfully “absorb” the proposed forms and value systems, methods of shocks, shock effects, and unwinding of contradictions were used to form the necessary political process based on: reproduction and formation of a system of grievances in bilateral relations; bribery of the elite and spontaneous leaders; creating artificial disorder, chaos (social and economic problems, uncontrollability, open military confrontation) in countries and regions. Scientific achievements and doctrines revealed new ways of understanding the environment, implied innovations in solving political dilemmas. Despite the desire of the emerging regional and state communities to seize on the technological advantages that could be extracted from global trends and changes, they have been adapted to instill the necessary thinking and management actions for this territory. New ways of conquering territories were approved and actively worked.

THE USA IS THE DICTATOR OF THE SYSTEM OF INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS

The aggressiveness of the United States in achieving its strategic goals is pronounced. North America proceeds from the fact that the asserting contiguous signs of the development of the world system do not change the decisive role of the policy of the United States – the only superpower claiming this place permanently. The United States has been busy defending its primacy in the international arena for almost a hundred years, relying on the experience it has gained. The US National Se-
The “unipolar world” led by the United States “made it possible to ensure the security of the world community” based on Washington’s national interests. The American state and American society, “relying on its superior power,” wrote in his book “Choice. World domination or global leadership,” Z. Brzezinski, - act as a bastion of international stability ... have a diverse impact on the world ... facilitated by globalization, overcome national-territorial barriers and destroy the traditional social order.” [Brzezinski, 2010, p.24]. The integration of sovereign nations into the Anglo-American unipolar Empire had become the main occupation of the United States and now seemed to be a done deal. In the late XX - early XXI centuries, it seemed that nothing could prevent America’s dominance in the world. Undivided power and arrogance confirmed this. They gave rise to “a tendency to consider themselves a model for all other peoples ..., Americans’ ideas about the moral vocation of their country from above ..., the desire of the US Congress to authorize the State Department to assess the behavior of other states ... is symptomatic of the current position of the United States, which is increasingly dismissive of foreign sovereignty, ... carefully protecting its own” [Brzezinski, 2010, p.24]. In the current situation, like-mindedness, integration into the system of world perception of the United States seemed to be the only way for many countries of the world to exist. In the interval 1991 – 2017 the number of NATO countries has doubled (from 15 to 30). The aggressiveness of the NATO bloc against dissent in the regions of the earth has reached its limit. In response to the strengthening of the sovereignty and independence of the Russian Federation in matters of international politics, the infrastructure of the North Atlantic Bloc was located near the borders of Russia. The key territories of Russia’s neighbors have become territories generating not only ardent nationalism and ethnic democracy (Estonia, Latvia), but Nazism (Ukraine, Latvia). Almost all Western countries are now following the path of the formation of ethnic democracies on the wave of rabid Russophobia. This distorts the development of the world community, escalates the international situation.

The conflict in Ukraine, which has been developing since 2004, when V. Yushchenko was installed as President by the efforts of the West and Ukraine is “embedded” in the interests of the United States, has formed the concept of “Anti-Russia” on its territory. The conflict had several stages of development and entered a fundamentally different phase after February 24, 2022. A radically new situation in the world has been created. Russia has switched to active defense. According to German President Frank-Walter Steinmeier, the Ukrainian conflict “has become an epochal scrap, a break with many things that were taken for granted” in world politics and the Western way of thinking3. The undivided dominance of the Atlantic community
is now being questioned, tested for strength. This provokes the indignation of the Western world, which is challenged in the implementation of new, openly expansionist plans for the absorption of states and territories.

RUSSIA IN THE SYSTEM OF MODERN INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS: WAYS OF SOVEREIGNIZATION

Russia’s relations with the united West in modern conditions are reduced to zero in almost all directions. For the Russian Federation, this creates conditions for a complete reassessment of relations with the United States and its allies. The process of the collapse of the global security architecture and the international legal system launched by the West has, as expected, led to an unprecedented increase in tension in the world. NATO’s intervention on the territory of Ukraine and its partition are becoming real. Protecting its national security in neighboring territories, the special military operation of the Russian Federation thwarted the plans of the Atlantic community in a further offensive against Russia, an attempt to establish a regime under its control in the country, as it was carried out in the Baltic States, Ukraine, Georgia, Moldova, and a number of other post-Soviet countries. Therefore, a special military operation contributes to the protection of the territorial integrity of the State.

A question arises. If the practice of internal destabilization and the “reformatting” of states according to American patterns is a fact, the restructuring of the world political system has been going on for more than thirty years, does this imply the transformation of key territories and states in the future? There is no doubt that this is so. The transformation of the world, initiated by the collapse of the world socialist system and the USSR, continues.

The actions of the Russian government in resisting the destructive pressure of strengthening the statehood of the Russian Federation, undertaken in 2022, were a revelation for the Anglo-Saxon and German-Roman worlds. These actions declared that the established vector of the West’s offensive tactics against Russia has come to an end. Now the Russian Federation has chosen an offensive position to protect national sovereignty and ensure the stability of the country in the surrounding world. Fundamentally, the new tactic makes adjustments to the system of traditional perception of political limology, the practice of looking at the system of borders approved for a specific historical period by international treaties. Anti-Russian political processes, which have been actively developing in Ukraine for more than thirty years, could not stop at the demarcation line. They influence not only the EU policy, but also the internal political situation in our country. It is known that the boundaries do not represent a fixed line in space and time. They symbolize the socio-political practice of spatial differentiation. The whole history of Europe is the history of the redistribution of borders. The main repartitions took place and are taking place when the polarity in the world is changing. No state has been able to be a single center of power forever. The transformation of the world under the influence of the United States and NATO after the collapse of the socialist bloc was aimed at destroying Russia and its influence in the regions of the earth. The tasks of the actions of the Ukrainian state outlined from the United States began to directly include the European territory of the Russian Federation, exposed it to military and political danger, determined the issue of physical survival. Based on this, Russia had
to begin denazification of the territory of Ukraine, based on the idea of the inviolability of the historical space of Russia, arbitrarily transformed by the communist era. In the address of Russian President Vladimir Putin to the citizens of the country on February 21, 2022, the Ukrainian state was called “an artificial formation of the communist era.” “Modern Ukraine was entirely created... by Bolshevik, communist Russia...” the President noted. “At the same time, the Soviet government, forming the territory of the Ukrainian SSR, annexed Russian lands to it and recognized the rights of sovereign states for the Union republics, which predetermined the collapse of the country” (WG, 2022).

The process of disintegration, in the territories of modern Ukraine formed under socialism, could not exist when forming a peaceful policy towards the Russian Federation, the unity of the economic and political space of the two countries. However, the efforts of the United States and the Atlantic community have led to the opposite result. With an established hostile policy towards Russia, its sovereignty over the territories may be revised. The West logically summed up the development of the political situation to the fact that the Donetsk and Lugansk republics, which emerged on the territory of Ukraine after the coup in 2014, have a legitimate right to self-determination.

The beginning of the special operation of the Russian Federation in Ukraine was the defining point, the starting point of no return in the formation of a new structure of international relations (hereinafter – the Ministry of Defense). Starting from the main key events of the XX – XXI centuries: the collapse of the world socialist system; the collapse of Yugoslavia and the USSR; a series of “color revolutions” in Europe, Africa and Asia; the special military operation of the Russian Federation in Ukraine, etc., which globally affected countries and international structures, we can testify to the beginning of a new stage in the development of the modern international order. The Yalta-Potsdam system of international relations is ending its existence. Many territories of the earth and, in particular, the Greater Middle East, Central Asia, North Africa, Eastern Europe, the Balkans, the Baltic-Pontic region will not soon be determined as the most trouble-free.

**THE BALKANS IN THE SYSTEM OF MODERN INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS: THE POSSIBILITY OF COUNTRIES IN SELF-REALIZATION**

Modern events reinforce the negative image of “problematic” regions of the earth, such as the Middle East, Transcaucasia, Central Asia, and the Balkans. Since the collapse of the Ottoman Empire, the Balkans have been treated as the “powder keg” of Europe, and “Balkanization” is perceived as synonymous with fragmentation. The term has become an integral part of political vocabulary, and the concept of “Balkans” is designated as a category opposite to the West in terms of culture, customs, and standard of living. The United West proceeds from the fact that the Balkan countries have a low political culture, are divided and in conflict with each other, are not able to independently solve the essential problems of their own region. A series of bloody wars on the territory of long-suffering Yugoslavia only reinforce this idea. From a single state, six were created by the efforts of the West: Serbia, Croatia, Montenegro, Bosnia and Herzegovina, North Macedonia and Slovenia. There is also a
seventh subject – Kosovo, as a bulwark and regulator of NATO’s activities, the fruit of the policy of aggression, a modifier of the separation of the Balkan countries. It is clear that the United States, NATO, and the EU have always acted and are acting according to a single rule in relation to undesirable countries: to divide an “inconvenient” state into “digestible” entities, to create non-viable (without their participation) organisms, to dictate their own conditions to each of them and to control their implementation. Moreover, young states, entangled in thousands of problems, will themselves seek help from their conquerors for the sake of survival. This happens with young states formed on the territory of Yugoslavia. They want to do this with the Russian Federation.

The Balkan peninsula has always been under the close attention of the North Atlantic Bloc, and after the collapse of Yugoslavia, no discussion of the security problems of the region took place without the participation of NATO. In 1994-1995, NATO forces conducted a number of operations on the territory of Bosnia and Herzegovina, and in 1999 Yugoslavia was subjected to the most severe bombing by NATO, conducted without the authorization of the UN Security Council. Since this year, NATO has been heading the “International Security Forces in Kosovo”. American military bases are located on the territory of the region. The region of Kosovo, the ancestral territory of Serbia, is on the political map as a fact of insulting the historical memory of the Serbian people. Western political strategists are trying by this fact to activate a new mechanism of thinking in the Balkans, which is being powerfully introduced into the society of all the opposing countries. It works according to the formula: “Obey us. It will still be as we decided.” The Balkans have become one of the key zones of world politics, a testing ground for the development of Western political technologies.

Due to the complex history of the region, uncertainty in the independent evolutionary process of development takes root in the collective subconscious of many Balkan peoples. Self-consciousness is changing, yielding to the insistent introduction of the West. An increasing part of society is inclined to believe that the “civilizational” path of development can only be connected with the EU and NATO. This happens even contrary to the traditions of history and gene memory. The EU’s attempts to achieve the signing of an agreement between Kosovo and Serbia, which would become, if not de jure, then de facto recognition of the Kosovo separatists, are aimed at, on the one hand, clearing the way for Serbia to join the EU, and subsequently NATO, and on the other hand, removing obstacles to Kosovo’s accession to Albania, which is already a member of the North Atlantic Alliance. Western moderators of political processes are actively working, see opportunities and prospects in solving their problems.

The mechanical implantation of Western models and values does not always lead to the desired results. Serbia and the Republika Srpska BiH actively resist the actions of the united West. The introduction of restrictive measures by the United States and the EU against the Russian Federation violates the principles of the Serbs. The Territories themselves have lived under sanctions and know how immoral and ineffectual they can be.

The West’s bewilderment about the emerging new order in the former socialist or post–communist countries of the Balkans, its true nature, is explained by the significant deviations of young democracies from the norms of Western Europe and
the United States, but most importantly - the continuing influence of Russia. The Russian Federation clearly did not pay enough attention to the region. Absorbed in her own problems, she was actually only mentally present in solving the fateful problems of the Balkans in the 90s of the XX century. The one-time steps taken could not prevent the formation of a new historical situation, the dominant penetration of the USA, the EU, China, and Turkey into the region. The current global sanctions war forms difficult-to-reverse consequences for the Russian Federation and all European countries, intensifies socio-economic problems in them, forms new stages of confrontation and transformational imperatives. The Russian Federation is trying, by virtue of its capabilities, not to destroy the fragile stabilization process in the Balkans. It is significant that Russia refrained from attempts to destabilize the situation in Bosnia and Herzegovina. On February 26-27, 2008, an inconspicuous but important meeting of the Steering Committee of the Council for the Implementation of the Peace Agreement in BiH, which includes not only Western, but also Russian representatives, was held in Brussels. A statement was unanimously adopted, in which it was emphasized that none of the constituent entities of this State (hence, the Republika Srpska) has the right to secede. At the same time, the powers of the Supreme Representative of the highest authority in this international protectorate were extended, although his activities cause dissatisfaction with the Bosnian Serbs, who are constantly oppressed by the “supreme” representatives of the West. Although this vote is indicative, it does not reflect the deep ethno-political processes taking place in BiH. It is intermediate, temporary. Today there is a steady trend towards the separation of ethnicities. The problem of generating “collective guilt” for the events of the 1992-1995 war on individual ethnic groups does not stand up to criticism. The problems of the unity of the federation are intensified due to the course of the West towards the unitarization of Bosnia. Western pressure on Bosnian Serbs is unacceptable. If the preservation of the mandate of the High Representative for BiH is an indicator of the incompleteness of the state-building process in the republic, then the West’s modeling of the country’s development should be carried out in the interests of all entities. This will strengthen healthy contacts between them.

It is criminal that under the pressure of the West, the Republic of Serbian Krajina (Croatia) ceased to exist. And the worst thing is that she was cleansed along ethnic lines. The same was planned for the DPR and the LPR. It is a historical mistake that the Republika Srpska (dated 9.01.1992) did not become an integral part of the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia. Such a policy was carried out only in the interests of the West.

The Russian position in relation to the Yugoslav crisis, as well as foreign policy in general, has undergone a complex evolution, which was inevitable, since after the collapse of the USSR, Russia was in thrall to pseudo-liberalism, was entangled in a network of American consultants and “celestials”, faced the need to determine its new place in the world. It has become urgent to rethink the country’s foreign policy and reformulate its national and state interests, including in the Balkans. Western countries skillfully used the weakness of the Russian Federation and its “narrow-minded” leadership, determined the trend of aggressive offensive policy. This was especially evident in the Balkans. The pan-Slavic conflict, like the Ukrainian crisis now, is provoked by the actions of NATO, the meaning of whose existence is to generate tension in the international situation by strengthening NATO policy. This
means a lack of confidence in the stabilization of the regional and international polit-
cal situation. That is why the united West will supply weapons to Ukraine, sup-
port Croat Muslims in BiH, strengthening the factors of its presence, belittling the actions of opponents, preventing the expansion of Serbian cultural and civilizational influence and unity.

So, the self-realization of the countries of the Balkan region today is constrained by the pressure of NATO and the EU. The sovereign path of development is not only frowned upon, but in the opinion of the united West, it cannot exist. Countries twist their hands, put them on their knees, turn them into outcasts. This bitter experience has become clear. That is why the development of the situation in Ukraine in the period 2004-2022 highlighted new priorities for NATO, the United States and the Russian Federation: the civilizational space of Russia, military and political security, the insecurity of the borders of the Russian Federation from the NATO infrastructure in the Baltic States and Ukraine. The United States has identified the most vulnerable place in the offensive against a strategic enemy. The Russian Federation was forced to take radical measures to protect its sovereignty. - A special military operation has been launched, which is the impetus for the shift of large tectonic processes in world politics. The final scrapping of the old world order has begun.

The offensive against Russia is the main goal of the modification of international relations in the XX - XXI centuries. Directions in the transformation of the world political system.

The factors of transformation of international relations in the XX century were connected with Russia. The revival of the Russian Federation as a self-sufficient center was not part of the US plans. The pressure of the offensive of the Anglo-Saxon civilization on the post-Soviet space and the Russian Federation was the basic ba-

sis for the formation of the platform of a new worldview and the defense system in the XXI century. The coups d'état in the post-Soviet space carried out by the United States during the “color revolutions”, the policy of NATO expansion to the East, the sanctions strangulation of unwanted opponents - revealed a new quality of peace in the global balance of forces. The formation of the concept of “Anti-Russia on the territory of Ukraine meant the fact of an inevitable confrontation between the West and the Russian Federation.

The well-established practice of the united West’s offensive against Russia after the collapse of the USSR, with the declared course of the Russian Federation to de-
fend the national sovereignty of the country, logically should have led to isolation from the pan-European institutions of power, the rupture of established economic ties. The Atlantic community is used to playing by its own rules and does not think about changing the policy towards opponents. This was confirmed by the “summit of democracies” - a forum of countries gathered by the United States in December 2021, at which there was a conceptual division of the world into those who follow in the wake of American globalization, and the rest, to whom America makes claims and demands to integrate into the system of its values. In Europe, the practical di-
vision of states has taken shape. In Asia and the rest of the continents, this process will be completed in the near future. The polarization and division of the world continues against the background of the frontal sanctions and military offensive of the Atlantic civilization.
In order to ensure real sovereignty, Russia cannot help but think about the policy of reforms. Various models of ensuring the resilience of the country are proposed. As one of them to get out of the situation for the next 10-15 years, the special representative of the President of the Russian Federation on digital and technological development Dm. Peskov proposed the scenario of “islandization”. Under this concept, the scientist assumes the creation of global, autonomous technical and economic blocks, i.e. self-sufficient development centers, which is what the actions of modern Russia are aimed at. In the future, this means the practical curtailment of Anglo-Saxon globalism in the world, the end of the security system created in the XX century, further modification of the Yalta-Potsdam structure of the world order. In the near future, there will be a “reset of global technology markets, the nationalization of technical standards, the re-localization of the production of critical goods. That is, countries, all major technological blocks will want and will produce food, medicines and all vital equipment for themselves, on their territory. Now the emphasis in commodity-money relations is shifting towards the commodity. Closed production cycles are emerging, where the main number of key enterprises will not depend on external supplies. The global dominance of the dollar is going away. Technological sovereignty (as well as, let’s add, food and raw materials - V.B.) will become part of the scenario of building its own “island” on which a self-sufficient center will be able to make decisions and be responsible for them. “This is the main story for the next ten years for us, and also for countries such as the USA, China, possibly for India,” the Dm pointed out. Sands⁴. This point of view is relevant and deserves attention.

Thus, we can assume that the result of the transition to the post–globalist period (in an optimistic scenario - V.B.) will be the formation of several global financial systems: dollar, euro, pound, yuan, ruble. And also, - two or three. We assume that this “story” cannot but be relevant for the modern EU, Japan, and the autonomization of the modern center of influence in Latin America. Now the United States is working to prevent such plans, is engaged in rallying countries on an anti-Russian platform, creating a closed system within the “summit of democracies”, which will include: the supply of energy resources; the development of key competencies; the production of high-tech goods, etc. The EU is involved in this process. The rest of the key players, with the exception of China, are in a state of choice. Russia should increase the information explanation of such actions, but, most importantly, develop economic self-sufficiency.

For Russia and China, the new world order is associated with multipolarity, i.e. the presence of several powerful macro-states that create their own area of influence. At the same time, the orientation of other states to interact with the determining center will have to be based not on coercion, but on joint interests, partnership. At the first stage of the formation of a new system of international relations, there will be a process of absorption of weak legal actors. It is natural. The centers of power are determined, protect their sovereignty and civilizational self-sufficiency. Then, according to the logic of the development of events, there should come a period of stability in the relationship of the centers of influence.

The restructuring of the world order has always led to a change in borders. In the XX century, the global change of borders took place three times: After the First and Second World Wars, the collapse of the socialist camp and the USSR. A hybrid war

⁴ URL: https://www.rbc.ru/technology_and_media/09/06/2022/62a0bb419a79471ae8b3cc5e.
led to their disintegration, which has now only intensified. In the open confrontation that has begun with the united West, the issue of confrontation will be resolved radically: if the United States wins, Russia will fall under the partition. If Russia survives, the redistribution will affect Europe. – The borders in Europe, in order to ensure the security of the parties, will radically change. America will not remain unchanged either. Complex internal development processes will lead to them.

The radical transformation of the world has just begun. In 2022, an open mechanism was launched to change the world order. The situation will not end with a return to the old positions.

THE TRANSFORMATIONAL ESSENCE OF MODERNITY.

CONCLUSIONS

It should be noted that the policy of “islandization” in reforming the country, if it becomes the state strategy of the Russian Federation, will take into account “the island’s stay in the ocean of storms”, the presence of protective border territories and regions for possible repelling the aggression of other “islands”. American power is still second to none. The US actions are aimed at avoiding the loss of power over the planet. The power of China is strengthening, which is waiting for time to assert itself. The EU and Britain are not dropping their globalist claims. The theory of the spatial factor, conditioned by the civilization-historical, military-political, geographical situation, should receive a comprehensive development in the new conditions. In the future system of the world order, a self-sufficient industrial and technological island should equip such political and territorial borders that would be perceived as contact points for common territorial structures of interaction. If this theory is implemented, then, in relation to the territory of Russia, a protective mechanism of development will arise. This mechanism cannot be built without solving the problems of modern Ukraine, the Baltic-Pontic Belt, repaying the aggression of the Baltic countries, the international structure of the territory of Kazakhstan, solving the problems of the Balkan Peninsula, Transcaucasia and Scandinavia. However, the main condition is the progressive, successful development of the Russian Federation, the skillful confrontation of the Atlantic civilization, which does not lead to military conflicts. Opposition from the United States will not disappear.

It is assumed that:

- the tension of the international situation is now being revealed as a permanent factor;
- the world has entered the era of strategic redistribution. The MO system, built on the superiority of Western civilization, is undergoing changes, is coming to an end;
- the current period of international relations is characterized by the identification of new centers of power claiming their rights;
- the situation in Ukraine has led to the final breakdown of the old world order. It is being replaced by a new one, connected with the restructuring of the border system, the formation of the security structure of the states – centers of power;
- in the absence of a global internal crisis, the United States will remain one of the leaders of the international system throughout the XXI century;
• the doctrines and practices of reformatting the world space are developing and aimed at improving, relying on the information, civilizational and cultural potential of nations;
• the concepts of “political globalization of the world”, “preparation and conduct of democratic revolutions”, “color revolutions”, etc., will be used for a long time due to the messianic thinking of the United States, but their negative essence will manifest, become clear to the masses, they will be considered as an anachronism of the past;
• all types of integration and hybrid wars will intensify: mental, cognitive, ideological and others aimed at changing the properties of a person, the civilizational basis of the enemy’s society;
• the civilizational and historical pillars of Russia in the regions of Europe: the Republic of Serbia, the Republika Srpska as part of BiH should rely on historical identity, expand influence, not dissolve in the information and economic pressure of the West, China, Turkey;
• Russia will be under pressure from the united West. The country will survive only in the conditions of reforms aimed at strengthening the economy and internal self-sufficiency;
• Russia can be defined as an independent, self-sufficient center (island) only by building its economy on the basis of the latest technological cycle technologies;
• Russian society, as a civilizational beacon, must be preserved from shocks. This will preserve the improving statehood of the country.

Under other conditions, a global confrontation is inevitable. The world has entered an era of change, the consequences of which should be only positive.
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