

**ORIGINAL SCIENTIFIC PAPER** 

# Influence of Geometric Elements of Roundabouts on Speed at the Approach

#### Slavko Davidović

Pan-European Apeiron University, Faculty of Transport and Traffic Engineering Banja Luka

### Spasoje Mićić

Pan-European Apeiron University, Faculty of Transport and Traffic Engineering Banja Luka

#### Srećko Stanković

City of Banja Luka, Department of Traffic and Roads

Received: April 18, 2022 Accepted: May 3, 2022 **Abstract:** Research conducted in recent decades has shown that there are significant variations in speed at roundabouts depending on the geometric elements. Due to the characteristics of roundabouts and the way vehicles move, there is a reduction in speed, not only in the roundabout zone, but also at the approaches to the roundabout. This paper analyzes the influence of different types of roundabouts as one of the elements of the street system, on speed as one of the basic parameters of traffic flow that defines the functionality and quality of traffic conditions. The research of speeds was done on the approaches to the roundabout, as one of the characteristic segments of the roundabout.

Keywords: roundabout, speed, approach, geometric elements.

## INTRODUCTION

Roundabouts are increasingly being part of the city's arteries, and their impact on traffic conditions is specific compared to other types of intersections. Compared to other types of intersections, roundabouts, due to their specific geometry, significantly affect the speed of traffic flow for all movements and maneuvers, on all approaches. Ever since the first scientific and professional papers, the functional connection between the geometric and constructive characteristics of the functional parts of the road and street network and the speed of traffic flow (HCM, 1950), and thus the conditions of traffic, has been established (Bogdanović, V., 2005).

Many papers have investigated the relationships between certain geometric characteristics of roundabouts and average speed, which they defined as the average value of input speed, speed at the roundabout and output speed (Chen, Y., Persaud, B., Lyon, C., 2011), (Akçelik, R., 2011), (Almoarawi M., D. E., 2018), (Bezina, Š., Dragičević, V., Stančerić I.,, 2019)

The connection between the geometric characteristics of roundabouts, speed and capacity, traffic flow parameters and traffic conditions has been confirmed in many studies (Davidović S., Bogdanović V., Garunović N., Papić Z., Pamučar D., 2021) (Vincenzo, G., Rosolino, V., Teresa, I., 2014), (Rodegerdts, L., Bansen, J., Tiesler, C., Knudsen, J., Myers, E., Johnson, M., & O'Brien, A., 2010). The combination of the influence of geometric elements, traffic flow parameters and driver behavior makes the conditions of traffic at roundabouts very complex with significant variations in speed and other traffic flow parameters.

Speed is one of the basic parameters defined in simulation models in order to describe as much as possible the real conditions of traffic at roundabouts (Nikolic, G, Pringle, R., Bragg, K., 2010), (Gallelli, V., Vaiana, R., Iuele, T.,, 2014)

There is no methodology for calculating traffic flow parameters, capacity and service levels related to the part of the street network where the conditions of uninterrupted traffic flows prevail (Highway Capacity Manual, 2010) in which the influence of the geometric elements of the road is not included and valorized through various parameters.

The main goal of this research is to define and model the influence of geometric characteristics of roundabouts on the speed of vehicles at the approach to the roundabout.

In order to realize the goal, research was conducted in the real conditions of traffic, and the dependence between the geometric characteristics of roundabouts and the speed of traffic flow at the approaches to the roundabout was analyzed and defined.

Data collection was done by recording the real traffic flow at four roundabouts (picture 1) in the urban area of the city of Banja Luka (Republika Srpska - Bosnia and



Picture 1. Positions of analyzed roundabouts on the street network of the city of Banja Luka

Herzegovina). The roundabouts where the research was done belong to the roundabouts of medium size and large city roundabouts.

4 roundabouts with different geometric characteristics were selected:R1 Aleja svetog Save – Gundulićeva (picture 2); R2 Majke Jugovića – Bulevar Desanke Maksimović (picture 3), R3 Patre – Isaije Mitrović (picture 4) i R4 Bulevar Stepe Stepanovića – Bulevar Petra Bojovića (picture 5). During the research, it was sunny weather with a temperature of 15-35 °C, without fog, rain, strong wind and other unfavorable climatic conditions, the road was dry and without damage, without situations that would affect the flow of traffic.



Picture 2. R1 Aleja svetog Save – Gundulićeva



Picture 3. R2 Majke Jugovića – Bulevar Desanke Maksimović



Pisture 4. R3 Patre – Isaije Mitrović



Pisture 5. R4 Bulevar Stepe Stepanovića – Bulevar Petra Bojovića

Table 1. data on GPS position of roundabouts	
--	--

Position of roundabouts in WGS-84 coordinate system	R1	R2	R3	R4
Latitude	44.773963	44.762172	44.765897	44.766366
Longitude	17.199593	17.201245	17.187834	17.209049

Traffic recording was done by the "DJI Mavic 2 Pro" drone. The created video material has a frequency of 24 fps and is processed by the software "Data From Sky" which enables marking of reference lines, analysis of the movement of objects (vehicles) on the image and review of the image by sequences with an accuracy of approximately 0.042 seconds. By viewing the videos, two categories of vehicles were formed: vehicles that were obstructed and those that were not obstructed. Obstructed vehicles are those that had to stop at the approach to the roundabout (significantly reduce speed, below 10 km / h) for the following reasons:

- In front of the pedestrian crossing due to pedestrians,
- Before the entrance due to the traffic situation in the circulation zone.

Vehicles were also considered obstructed if they stopped at the exit from the roundabout due to pedestrians or other reasons (for example due to another vehicle that stopped due to pedestrians).

Tables 2 and 3 show the approach speed characteristics (S\_app), for unobstructed (CON) and obstructed vehicles (DIS).

Based on the results of previous research and field observations, fourteen geometric parameters have been identified that could potentially affect vehicle speed:

- En\_lane Parameter that defines the movement of the vehicle on the approach, entrance to the circle, roundabout and exit;
- N\_lne Number of traffic lanes on the approach;
- W\_lne Width of the traffic lane at the approach (m);
- W\_en Entrance width (m);
- R\_en Radius of entrance (m);
  - External diameter of the roundabout (m);
  - Diameter of the central island (m);
- N\_cr Number of traffic lanes in the roundabout;

INT	APP	Ν	Mean	SE Mean	StDev	Min	Q1	Med	Q3	Max
	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D1	2	5	23,46	2,87	6,41	18,7	19,00	20,28	29,52	34,04
KI	3	8	25,23	0,87	2,46	22,07	23,44	24,64	27,14	29,74
	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2/1	26	32,08	0,96	4,91	19,42	28,95	31,97	35,25	41,46
20	2/2	48	30,52	0,88	6,08	20,10	26,30	30,95	33,55	50,84
κz	4/1	22	34,48	1,18	5,54	24,68	29,51	34,91	38,74	42,99
	4/2	20	32,87	1,21	5,38	24,21	28,60	32,77	36,70	44,30
	1	14	28,71	1,28	4,77	19,28	24,72	29,81	32,20	35,36
20	2	16	26,08	1,12	4,48	18,84	22,19	26,99	28,21	36,53
К3	3	26	22,44	0,56	2,88	18,07	20,38	21,72	24,19	30,14
	4	8	21,18	1,19	3,38	16,87	18,48	20,39	24,05	27,03
	1/1	21	34,22	0,71	3,25	28,22	31,49	34,58	36,98	40,77
	1/2	25	36,34	0,97	4,84	24,08	33,97	35,94	39,48	45,49
	2	23	26,71	0,75	3,62	22,01	24,39	26,52	28,25	38,34
R4	3/1	8	29,85	2,08	5,88	24,08	24,76	28,25	35,02	39,50
	3/2	18	30,87	1,33	5,63	21,79	27,23	3013	33,62	43,51
	4/1	15	28,49	1,21	4,67	21,84	23,02	29,27	32,72	35,34
	4/2	25	29,12	0,80	4,00	22,21	26,73	28,85	31,00	40,49

Table 2. Characteristics of approach speed (S\_app), unobstructed vehicles (CON)

D1

D2

INT	APP	N	Mean	SE Mean	StDev	Min	Q1	Med	Q3	Max
	1	7	16,48	2,89	7,66	9,62	11,61	15,23	18,72	32,48
54	2	33	14,20	0,63	3,60	7,79	11,45	14,74	16,16	21,29
KI	3	14	18,16	1,34	5,00	9,69	13,42	17,74	21,87	26,30
	4	16	11,87	0,76	3,04	7,29	8,96	12,19	14,08	17,29
	2/1	42	24,57	0,73	4,74	16,09	20,71	24,90	26,90	36,46
20	2/2	43	23,92	0,98	6,83	10,79	19,37	24,00	29,20	34,98
KZ	4/1	40	28,33	0,91	5,77	16,50	24,07	28,56	33,23	39,23
	4/2	39	27,70	0,89	5,58	14,86	24,33	28,00	30,60	41,67
	1	21	23,60	0,93	4,27	17,36	18,88	24,56	26,95	30,95
50	2	24	21,34	1,29	6,31	9,44	17,97	20,98	25,54	32,95
K3	3	48	15,55	0,51	3,55	8,21	12,59	15,47	18,85	22,06
	4	23	17,37	0,46	2,21	13,06	15,85	17,37	19,36	21,43
	1/1	20	28,89	1,58	7,06	17,03	22,49	30,27	33,35	43,54
	1/2	27	24,13	1,11	5,79	14,66	19,75	21,48	29,41	39,47
	2	30	19,38	1,07	5,83	7,38	15,75	18,56	23,71	31,02
R4	3/1	11	26,08	1,14	3,77	15,99	24,67	27,65	28,16	29,29
	3/2	22	25,42	1,22	5,74	7,64	21,9	25,45	29,34	32,84
	4/1	13	22,67	1,42	5,11	8,95	20,76	22,62	26,58	29,42
	4/2	13	22,45	0,85	3,07	19,25	19,93	21,09	25,79	27,75

 Table 3. Characteristics of approach speed (S app), obstructed vehicles (DIS)

W\_lnc - Width of the traffic lane in the roundabout (m);

W\_cr - Road width in a circle (m);

N\_lnx - Number of traffic lanes on the exit branch to which the observed vehicle flow exits;

W\_lnx - Width of the traffic lane on the exit branch to which the observed vehicle flow exits (m);

W\_ex - Width of the exit at which the observed flow of the vehicle exits (m);R\_ex - Exit radius at the exit branch where the ob-

served vehicle flow exits (m);

In tables 4., 5., 6. i 7., the geometric elements of roundabouts are shown.

Table 4. Geometric parameters of the roundabout R1: Gundulićeva – Al	leja svetog Save
--	------------------

R1 – Gundulićeva – Aleja svetog Save							
	External diameter of the roundabout (m)	33,6					
General geometric	Diameter of the central island (m)			22,0			
	ic Road width in a circle (m)			5,8			
characteristics	Number of traffic lanes in the roundabout			1			
	Width of the traffic lane in the roundabout (m)			5,8			
	Approach						
Geometric characteristics of the approach		1	2	3	4		
Number of traffic	Number of traffic lanes on the approach			1	1		
A	Width of the approach (m)	3,6	3,6	5,0	3,6		
Approacn	Width of the traffic lane at the approach (m)	3,6	3,6	5,0	3,6		
<b>F</b> -1	Entrance width (m)	5,0	5,2	5,3	5,0		
Entrance	Width of the traffic lane at the entrance (m)	5,0	5,2	5,3	5,0		
Radius of entranc	e (m)	14,0	21,2	15,0	20,0		
Entrance angle (°	)	26,9	20,9	28,7	25,3		
<b>5</b> 11	Exit width (m)	5,3	4,6	5,5	5,0		
Exit	Number of traffic lanes on the exit	1	1	1	1		
Width of the traff	ic lane at the exit (m)	4,0	3,6	4,5	3,6		
Radius of exit (m)		16,9	17,4	23,3	22,1		
Dividing island wi	dth (m)	1,8	2,9	3,0	2,6		

R2 – Majke Jugovića – B	ulevar Des	anke Maksimović						
		External diameter of the roundabout (m)	33,0					
		Diameter of the central island (m)			16,0			
General geometric		Road width in a circle (m)			8,0			
characteristics		Number of traffic lanes in the roundabout			2			
		Width of the traffic lane in the roundabout (m)			4,0			
				Approa	ach			
Geometric characteristics of the approach		the approach –	1	2	3	4		
Number of traffic lanes on the approach			2	3	2	2		
Arrange	Width o	f the approach (m)	7,0	9,8	6,4	7,5		
Арргоасп	Width o	f the traffic lane at the approach (m)	3,5*	3,4+3,4+3	3,2*	3,75*		
Faturation	Entrance	e width (m)	7,4	13,0	7,6	7,6		
Entrance	Width o	f the traffic lane at the entrance (m)	3,7*	3,7+3,7+5,6	3,8*	3,8*		
Radius of entrance	(m)		12,6	15,8	15,9	12,3		
Entrance angle (°)			23,8	21,8	50,7	42,2		
<b>F.</b>	Exit wid	th (m)	7,6	8,2	9,2	7,2		
EXIL	Number	of traffic lanes on the exit	2	2	2	2		
Width of the traffic lane at the exit (m)			3,5*	3,6*	3,2*	3,4*		
Radius of exit (m)			12,0	14,2	14,0	18,2		
Dividing island widt	th (m)		1,2	3,1	1,1	4,6		

Table 5. Geometric parameters of the roundabout R2: Majke Jugovića – Bulevar Desanke Maksimović

### \* traffic lanes of the same width

Table 6. Geometric parameters of the roundabout R3: Patre, Cara Lazara – Teodora Kolokotronisa, Isaije Mitrovića

R3 – Patre, Cara Lazara – Teodora Kolokotronisa, Isaije Mitrovića								
		External diameter of the roundabout (m)	43,0					
		Diameter of the central island (m)			31,0			
General geometric characteristics	2	Road width in a circle (m)			6,0			
enaluetensties		Number of traffic lanes in the roundabout			1			
		Width of the traffic lane in the roundabout (m)			6			
Commentation shows show in this of the supervised			Approach					
Geometric characteristics of the approach		of the approach	1	2	3	4		
Number of traffic lanes on the approach		1	1	1	1			
Approach	Width o	f the approach (m)	4,6	4,6	4,1	4,3		
	Width o	f the traffic lane at the approach (m)	4,6	4,6	4,1	4,3		
Entropoo	Entranc	e width (m)	5,1	4,7	5,9	5,1		
Entrance	Width o	f the traffic lane at the entrance (m)	5,1	4,7	5,9	5,1		
Radius of entrance	: (m)		15,4	13,4	21,6	15,2		
Entrance angle (°)			35,3	25,8	31,0	33,8		
C.:.t.	Exit wid	th (m)	5,4	4,7	5,5	4,7		
EXIT	Number	r of traffic lanes on the exit	1	1	1	1		
Width of the traffic	c lane at t	the exit (m)	4,3	4,5	4,1	4,3		
Radius of exit (m)			18,4	22,5	15,0	62,2		
Dividing island wid	Dividing island width (m)			11,5	3,9	8,4		

able 7. Geometric parameters of the roundabout R4: Bul. Desanke Maksimović – Bul. VojvodeStepe Stepanovića
--

R4 – Bul. Desanke Maksimović – Bul. Vojvode Stepe Stepanovića								
		External diameter of the roundabout (m)	57,2					
		Diameter of the central island (m)			34,8			
General geometric characteristics	:	Road width in a circle (m)			9,4			
enaracteristics		Number of traffic lanes in the roundabout			2			
		Width of the traffic lane in the roundabout (m)			4,7			
			Approach					
Geometric characteristics of the approach		f the approach —	1	2	3	4		
Number of traffic lanes on the approach			2	1	2	2		
A	Width o	f the approach (m)	7,2	4,3	7,4	7,6		
Approacn	Width o	f the traffic lane at the approach (m)	3,6*	4,3	3,7*	3,8*		
Fatrana	Entrance	e width (m)	8	4,2	8,4	9,2		
Entrance	Width o	f the traffic lane at the entrance (m)	*	4,2	4,2*	4,6*		
Radius of entrance	(m)		23	17,9	20	23,7		
Entrance angle (°)			43,4	31,9	35,9	28,0		
T. it	Exit wid	th (m)	9,4	4,7	9,4	5,8		
EXIC	Number	r of traffic lanes on the exit	2	1	2	1		
Width of the traffic lane at the exit (m)			3,6	4	3,7*	3,8		
Radius of exit (m)			26,9	20,2	26,2	20,2		
Dividing island wid	Dividing island width (m)			6	3,6	4,1		

\*traffic lanes of the same width

# FORMATION OF THE MODEL OF THE AVERAGE FLOW SPEED AT THE APPROACH (S\_APP)

In accordance with the analysis that was done, the model of speed S\_app was formed:

 $S_{app} = -6,23 + 6,532 P_{type} + 24,27 N_{lne} + 4,62$ 

 $W_{lne} - 4,677 \; W_{en} + 0,2343 \; R_{en}$ 

S<sub>app</sub> Average flow speed at the approach (km/h); - Type of vehicle passage (1 - flows of

- *P<sub>type</sub>* unobstructed vehicles; 0 flows of obstructed vehicles);
- $N_{lne}$  Number of traffic lanes on the approach; Width of the traffic lane at the approach  $W_{lne}$  (m);

*W*<sub>en</sub> Entrance width (m);

*R<sub>en</sub>* Radius of entrance (m);

The obtained regression has a derivation coefficient R2 = 90.19%. The corrected coefficient of determination R2 (adj) = 88.56%, while the predictive coefficient of determination is R2 (pre) = 85.95%. The standard regression error of the speed model S\_app is S = 2.00 (km /

h). Based on the summary parameters of the model, it can be concluded that the determined equation very well describes the dependence of the speed S\_app and the selected predictors.

The analysis of the residues determined that they were randomly distributed around the line representing the regression curve. Residuals agree with the normal distribution (AD = 0.146; P-value = 0.965). The mean residual value is very close to zero and is 6.414622E-15. The following figure shows a graphical representation of the residuals of the velocity model S\_app.



Picture 6. Residual from the speed model S\_app

# CONCLUSION

At the beginning, roundabouts were mainly used on the secondary street network, but due to the positive effects of use, they quickly began to be used on the main city arteries. For the needs of traffic planning and regulation, as well as in operational and planning analysis, the effects of roundabouts are analyzed using classical methods, without taking into account the specific traffic conditions generated by geometric elements of roundabouts. Many studies since the end of the last century have shown in an objective way that roundabouts affect the speed of traffic flow.

The research in this paper was focused on the analysis of the influence of roundabouts and its basic geometric elements on the change of speed at the approaches to the roundabout. The research was done in real traffic flow at four roundabouts with different geometric elements. The influence of the geometry of roundabout elements has been the subject of various studies, but in this paper, in addition to geometric elements, the influence of traffic flow, ie interaction with other vehicles at the roundabout, ie interference resulting from interaction. After the formation of the database of characteristic velocities, 14 geometric parameters of the roundabout were defined, which could potentially have an impact on the speed on the segments of the roundabout, as well as the mutual influence of speed.

With multiple regression analysis, a model was formed for calculating the average speed of traffic flow at the approach to the roundabout, which shows the type of arrival, number of traffic lanes at the approach, width of the traffic lane at the approach, entrance width and entrance radius. Further research on speeds at roundabout approaches should focus on a wider area of roundabout approaches than the one covered by the analysis in this paper.

# REFERENCES

- Akçelik, R. (2011). Some common and differing aspects of alternative models for roundabout capacity and performance estimation. *International roundabout conference*. Carmel, Indiana, USA.: Transportation Research Board.
- [2] Almoarawi M., D. E. (2018). Predicting Operating Speeds at Urban Multilane in Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates. *Journal of Advanced Transportation*.
- [3] Bezina, Š., Dragičević, V., Stančerić I.,. (2019). Approach Alignment Impact on the Geometric Design of Urban Roundabouts. AIIT 2nd International Congress on Transport Infrastructure and Systems in a changing world (TIS Roma 2019) (str. 700-707). Roma, Italy: Transportation Research Procedia.
- [4] Bogdanović, V. (2005). Prilog proučavanju kapaciteta i nivoa usluge na trokrakim i kružnim prioritetnim raskrsnicama po novom konceptu. Novi Sad: Fakultet tehničkih nauka Novi Sad.
- [5] Chen, Y., Persaud, B., Lyon, C. (2011). Effect of speed on roundabout safety performance – implications for use of speed as a surrogate measure. *Transportation Research Board 90th Annual Meeting*. Washington DC, United States: Transportation Research Board.
- [6] Davidović S., Bogdanović V., Garunović N., Papić Z., Pamučar D. (2021). Research on Speeds at Roundabouts for the Needs of Sustainable Traffic Management. *Sustainability*.
- [7] Gallelli, V., Vaiana, R., Iuele, T.,. (2014). Comparison between simulated and experimental crossing speed profiles on roundabout with different geometric features. *Procedia – Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 117-126.
- [8] Highway Capacity Manual. (2010). Washinton D.C.: Council, Transportation Research Board of The National Research.
- [9] Nikolic, G, Pringle, R., Bragg, K. (2010). Evaluation of analytical tools used for the operational analysis of roundabouts. Halifax, New Scotia: Annual Conference of the Tranportation Association of Canada.
- [10] Rodegerdts, L., Bansen, J., Tiesler, C., Knudsen, J., Myers, E., Johnson, M., & O'Brien, A. (2010). National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) Report 672 Roundabouts: An Informational Guide (2nd ed.). Washington D.C.: Transportation Research Board of the National Academies.
- [11] Vincenzo, G., Rosolino, V., Teresa, I. (2014). Comparison between simulated and experimental crossing speed profiles on roundabout with different geometric features. *EWGT2013 16th Meeting of the EURO Working Group on Transportation* (str. 117-126). Porto, Portugal: Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences 111.