

DOI: 10.7251/VETJ1801207L

UDK: 614.31:641/642

*Pregledni naučni rad*

## METODE ZA ODREĐIVANJE ANTIMIKROBNE REZISTENCIJE KOD MIKROORGANIZAMA U HRANI

Tijana LEDINA<sup>1\*</sup>, Snežana BULAJIĆ<sup>1</sup>, Jasna Đorđević<sup>1</sup>

1 Dr Tijana Ledina, asistent, dr Snežana Bulajić, vanredni profesor, dr Jasna Đorđević, Fakultet veterinarske medicine, Univerzitet u Beogradu, Bulevar oslobođenja 18, 11000 Beograd, Srbija

\* Korensponentni autor: Tijana Ledina, imejl: [tijana.ledina@vet.bg.ac.rs](mailto:tijana.ledina@vet.bg.ac.rs)

**Kratak sadržaj:** Rezistencija na antibiotike predstavlja rastući problem koji je Svetska zdravstvena organizacija proglasila jednom od najvećih pretnji za globalno zdravlje ljudi. Lanac hrane jedan je od najvažnijih puteva prenošenja i širenja rezistencije na antibiotike između populacije rezistentnih i populacije osetljivih komensalnih i/ili patogenih mikroorganizama. Rezistencija na antibiotike može biti urođena, ili stečena putem mutacija ili lateralnog transfera gena. Sa aspekta širenja rezistencije značajna je samo rezistencija stečena lateralnim transferom gena. Postoje brojne metode za detekciju i određivanje prirode rezistencije na antibiotike kod bakterija izolovanih iz hrane. Neophodno je da metode budu standardizovane i da obezbeđuju konzistentnost i doslednost dobijenih rezultata. Za detekciju fenotipske rezistencije kod bakterija izolovanih iz hrane koriste se metode kojima se određuje minimalna inhibitorna koncentracija antibiotika. U njih spadaju metod mikrodilucije, metod dilucije u agaru i E-testovi. Kvalitativne i semikvantitativne metode koje se često koriste kod kliničkih izolata, nisu prigodne za ispitivanje rezistencije na antibiotike kod mikroorganizama izolovanih iz hrane. Kod mikroorganizama kod kojih se utvrdi prisustvo fenotipske rezistencije na antibiotike, određuje se prisustvo gena za rezistenciju. Mikroorganizmi kod kojih se dokaže prisustvo genetskih determinanti koje su povezane sa stečenom rezistencijom na antibiotike, predstavljaju rizik za diseminaciju rezistencije i među osetljivom populacijom. Mikroorganizmi koji imaju komercijalnu upotrebu, ne bi trebalo da poseduju genetske determinante prenosive rezistencije na antibiotike.

**Ključne reči:** rezistencija na antibiotike, minimalna inhibitorna koncentracija, genetske determinante rezistencije

**Zahvalnica:** Ovaj pregledni rad je deo projekta br. 46009 i III 46010 Ministarstva prosvete, nauke i tehnološkog razvoja Republike Srbije.

\* Rad je prezentovan na 22. Godišnjem savjetovanju doktora veterinarske medicine Republike Srpske (BiH) sa međunarodnim učešćem

## UVOD

Početak antibiotske ere 1940-ih označio je pravu revoluciju u medicini, zahvaljujući kojoj su, do tada smrtonosne bakterijske infekcije postale izlečive. Ubrzo nakon početka lečenja uz pomoć antibiotika, pojavili su se i prvi mikroorganizmi koji su rezistentni na njih. Danas, rezistencija na antibiotike predstavlja jedan od najvećih problema čovečanstva. (*World Health Organization, WHO, 2011*).

U mnogim zemljama godišnja upotreba antibiotika u veterinarskoj medicini višestruko premašuje upotrebu antibiotika u humanoj medicini. Kao posledica metafilakse i profilakse u veterinarskoj medicini, čak i zdrave životinje kontinuirano su izložene velikim količinama antibiotika. Mikroorganizmi koji vode poreklo od životinja na taj su način izloženi selektivnom pritisku, koji dovodi do preživljavanja samo onih bakterija koje su rezistentne na antibiotike. (*WHO, 2011*). Hrana životinjskog porekla omogućava direktan kontakt između mikroflore digestivnog trakta ljudi i životinja i predstavlja jedan od najvažnijih puteva širenja rezistencije između populacija ljudi i životinja. Sa aspekta bezbednosti hrane, nisu značajni samo rezistentni patogeni mikroorganizmi, već i komensalni mikroorganizmi koji mogu da služe kao rezervoar gena rezistencije na antibiotike. (*European Food Safety Authority EFSA, 2008a*). Proizvodi dobijeni od sirovog mesa i mleka posebno su inkriminirani zahvaljujući izostanku

termičkog tretmana, čime je omogućen opstanak velikog broja mikroorganizama u njima (*Mathur and Singh, 2005*).

Rezistencija na antibiotike može biti urođena ili stečena. Urođena rezistencija predstavlja naslednu odliku vrste ili roda i nema značajnu ulogu u diseminaciji rezistencije među populacijama mikroorganizama. Stečena rezistencija na antibiotike specifična je samo za pojedine sojeve u okviru inače osetljive vrste ili roda i može nastati usled mutacija na postojećim genima ili usled sticanja novih gena putem lateralnog transfera (*Ammor i sar., 2007*). Urođena rezistencija i rezistencija na antibiotike koja je nastala kao posledica mutacija nisu od značaja kod nepatogenih vrsta mikroorganizama, jer nemaju ulogu u širenju rezistencije. Rezistencija stečena lateralnim transferom gena nosi najveći rizik za širenje genetskih determinanti rezistencije na osetljive mikroorganizme (*Devirgiliis i sar. 2011*).

Praćenje rezistencije kod patogenih i komensalnih mikroorganizama kroz lanac hrane je od izuzetnog značaja za razumevanje i predviđanje nastanka i širenja, kao i planiranja adekvatnih mera u sprečavanju dalje diseminacije rezistencije na antibiotike. U cilju uspešnog monitoringa rezistencije kod bakterija izolovanih iz hrane, određivanja njene prirode i procene mogućnosti prenosa na osetljive bakterije, neophodno je primenjivanje odgovarajućih metoda ispitivanja rezistencije na antibiotike.

## METODE ZA ISPITIVANJE REZISTENCIJE NA ANTIBIOTIKE

Pri ispitivanju prisustva rezistencije kod mikroorganizama izolovanih iz hrane, koriste se fenotipske i genotipske metode. Prvo se utvrđuje fenotipska rezistencija i to uz pomoć neke od kvalitativnih ili kvantitativnih metoda. Ukoliko se kod izolata dokaže prisustvo fenotipske rezistencije za koju postoji sumnja da je posledica lateralnog transfera gena, potrebno je utvrditi prisustvo gena koji kodiraju rezistenciju.

### Kvalitativne metode detekcije rezistencije na antibiotike

Disk-difuziona metoda predstavlja najčešće korišćenu kvalitativnu metodu za određivanje rezistencije kod mikroorganizama. Disk-difuziona metoda za ispitivanje rezistencije na antibiotike izvodi se tako što se na površinu Petri ploča sa odgovarajućom hranljivom podlogom nanosi inokulum koji sadrži približno  $1-2 \times 10^8$  log CFU/mL ispitujućeg mikroorganizma, a zatim se na nju stavljaju papirni diskovi koji su impregnirani fiksnom koncentracijom antibiotika. Rezultati se očitavaju posle 16–24h, merenjem zone inhibicije rasta ispitivanog mikroorganizma (*Jorgensen and Ferraro, 2009*). Iako je disk-difuziona metoda najčešće korišćena kod kliničkih izolata, jer je jeftina, jednostavna i dobro standardizovana, ona nije pogodna za određivanje rezistencije kod mikroorganizama izolovanih iz hrane, jer ne postoji mogućnost kvantifikacije rezultata, već se izolati na osnovu veličine zona inhibicije mogu da klasifikuju samo

kao osetljivi ili rezistentni (*EFSA, 2012*).

### Kvantitativne metode za određivanje minimalnih inhibitornih koncentracija antibiotika

Za ispitivanje rezistencije kod bakterija izolovanih iz hrane, preporučuju se kvantitativne metode koje se baziraju na određivanju minimalnih inhibitornih koncentracija antibiotika (Minimal Inhibitory Concentration – MIC) (*EFSA, 2008a; EFSA, 2007; EFSA, 2012*). Minimalna inhibitorna koncentracija predstavlja najnižu koncentraciju antibiotika koja ima mogućnost da inhibira rast mikroorganizma pri tačno definisanim uslovima (*Wiegand i sar., 2008*). Postoji više metoda za određivanje MIC vrednosti, poput metoda dilucije u agaru, metoda dilucije u bujonu, upotreba E-testova i u novije vreme automatizovanih instrumentalnih sistema (*Jorgensen and Ferraro, 2009*).

Kod metode dilucije u agaru, određena koncentracija antibiotika dodaje se direktno u agar, a zatim se na njegovu površinu zasejava ispitivani mikroorganizam. Rezultati se očitavaju na osnovu prisustva ili odsustva rasta mikroorganizma na površini agara posle završene inkubacije (*Wiegand i sar., 2008*). Kod metoda dilucije u bujonu koriste se tečne podloge sa dodatkom antibiotika u tačno određenoj koncentraciji za određivanje prisustva rezistencije. Metod makrodilucije se izvodi u epruvetama, a zapremine bujona u koga su dodati ispitujući

mikroorganizam i antibiotik treba da budu веће од 2 mL. Makrodiluciona metoda прва је метода која је развијена за одређивање MIC вредности, али се данас практично више уопште не користи, јер је захтевнија и скуплија од микродилуционе методе (*Jorgensen and Ferraro, 2009*).

Mikrodiluciona metoda za određivanje MIC вредности подразумева употребу микротитрационих плоча, при чему укупна запремина у бунарчићу плоче не прелази запремину од 500  $\mu$ L (*Wiegand i sar., 2008*). Standardne микротитрационе плоче имају величину 8×12 бунарчића, па се на једној плочи може испитивати 8 антибиотика у 12 концентрација, или 12 антибиотика у 8 концентрација. Концентрације антибиотика које се налазе у епрувети или микротитрационој плочи су обично у низу двоstrukих разређења (нпр 1, 2, 4, 8 итд  $\mu$ g антибиотика/mL подлоге). Mikrodilucione плоче могу да се праве директно у лабораторији која испитује резистенцију на антибиотике, али су доступне и комерцијалне плоче у којима се бунарчићима налази деhidrirани антибиотик у одговарајућим концентрацијама. Концентрације антибиотика које се уносе у бунарчиће микротитрационих плоча прописане су стандардом или одговарајућим водичем (*Jorgensen and Ferraro, 2009*).

Rezultati kod makrodilucione metode se tumače na osnovu замућења бујона, а код микродилуционе методе на основу присуства или одсуства седимента у микротитрационом бунарчићу. Najmanja концентрација антибиотика при којој нема видљивог замућења, односно стварања

taloga, predstavlja MIC вредност за испитивани изолат (*Jorgensen and Ferraro, 2009*).

E-testovi predstavljaju tanke plastične trake na čijoj je poleđini antibiotik nanesen u rastućim koncentracijama. Na gornjem delu trake, nalazi se skala sa brojevima koji označavaju koncentracije antibiotika. E-testovi stavljaju se na površinu odgovarajućeg agara koji je prethodno inokulisan ispitujućim mikroorganizmom. MIC вредности sa E-testa očitavaju se na mestu preseka trake sa zonom inhibicije rasta. E-testovi su jednostavni i laki za izvođenje, ali se još uvek ne koriste u rutinskom ispitivanju izolata iz hrane, јер је цена оваквих испитивања веома висока (*Jorgensen and Ferraro, 2009*).

U novije vreme razvijene su poluautomatizovane i automatizovane metode za determinaciju MIC вредности, poput MicroScan WalkAway (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics), BD Phoenix Automated Microbiology Diagnostics (BD Diagnostics), Vitek 2 System (bioMerieux) i Sensititre Aris 2X (Trek Diagnostic Systems). Automatizovane metode omogućuju brzo dobijanje i standardizovano očitavanje rezultata, ali njihova upotreba je još uvek ograničena na kliničke izolate (*Jorgensen and Ferraro, 2009*).

### **Specifičnosti ispitivanja rezistencije na antibiotike kod mikroorganizama izolovanih iz hrane**

Mikroorganizmi izolovani iz hrane razlikuju se od kliničkih izolata, па

metode praćenja rezistencije kod njih imaju svoje specifičnosti i razlikuju se od metoda koje se primenjuju u kliničkoj praksi.

Određivanje rezistencije kod nekog soja mikroorganizma izolovanog iz hrane zasniva se na mikrobiološkim (epidemiološkim)graničnimvrednostima MIC, za razliku od kliničkih izolata kod kojih se određivanje rezistencije zasniva na kliničkim graničnim vrednostima (EFSA, 2008a). Mikrobiološke granične vrednosti postavljaju se u odnosu na distribuciju MIC vrednosti u populaciji bakterija, a sojevi čije MIC vrednosti značajno odstupaju od distribucije MIC vrednosti unutar date vrste ili roda, označavaju se kao mikrobiološki rezistentni. Mikroorganizmi koji su mikrobiološki osetljivi nisu nosioci gena koji kodiraju stečenu rezistenciju, bilo da je ona posledica mutacije ili je nastala lateralnim transferom gena.

Zarazliku od mikrobioloških graničnih vrednosti, kliničke granične vrednosti imaju za cilj da se ispituju mogućnosti lečenja bakterijske infekcije uz pomoć nekog antibiotika, pri čemu se uzimaju u obzir i kliničke studije efikasnosti, doziranje antibiotika, njegova farmakodinamika i farmakokinetika. Kliničke i mikrobiološke granične vrednosti MIC, mogu, ali i ne moraju da budu iste za neki antibiotik. Pri očitavanju rezultata kod mikrobioloških graničnih vrednosti, postoje samo kategorije rezistencije i osetljivosti kod mikroorganizama, ali ne i intermedijerne osetljivosti/rezistencije (Silly, 2012).

Programi monitoringa rezistencije kod bakterija izolovanih iz hrane kao minimalni uslov postavljaju praćenje rezistencije kod rodova *Salmonella* i *Campylobacter* (WHO, 2011). Pored ova dva roda u monitoring su najčešće uključene i *Escherichia coli* kao indikator Gram negativne komensalne mikroflore i *Enterococcus* spp. kao indikator Gram pozitivne komensalne mikroflore (Founou i sar., 2016; WHO 2011). Prema preporukama Evropske agencije za bezbednost hrane (European Food Safety Authority – EFSA) ispitivanje MIC vrednosti kod *Salmonella* spp, *Campylobacter* spp, *Enterococcus* spp. i *E. coli* treba da se radi prema uputstvima izdatim od strane Evropskog komiteta za ispitivanje antimikrobne osetljivosti (European Comittee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing – EUCAST, 2017), ali samo kvantitativnim metodama za određivanje vrednosti MIC, jer je disk-difuziona metoda označena kao neadekvatna (EFSA, 2008b; EFSA, 2007). Najčešće korišćena hranljiva podloga koja se koristi pri ispitivanju rezistencije na antibiotike kod mikroorganizama izolovanih iz hrane je Miler-Hinton bujon. Ipak, on nije adekvatan za ispitivanje rezistencije kod kampilobakterija, zbog njihove zahtevnosti u pogledu hranljivih materija, pa se u tom slučaju koristi Miler-Hinton bujon sa modifikovanom koncentracijom katjona i dodatkom 2,5% konjske krvi (McDermott i sar., 2005).

Prema preporukama EFSA, svi mikroorganizmi koji se namerno dodaju u hranu, najčešće kao starter kulture

или probiotici, не смеју да буду носиоци генетских детерминанти резистенције које су стећене латералним трансфером gena (EFSA, 2012). С обзиром на то да су у питању микроорганизми који немају клинички значај, методе испитивања MIC вредности нису предвиђене у водичима EUCAST-а. Нарочити проблем код испитивања антимикробне резистенције код бактерија млечне киселине представљао је одабир адекватне хранљиве подлоге за раст бактерија у микротитрационим плочама, јер се уобичајено коришћени бужони Miler-Hinton и Iso-Sensitest нису показали као адекватни за све припаднике ове групе микроорганизама (Huys i sar., 2002). Недавно је издат ISO стандард (ISO 10932, 2010) којим је дефинисан начин

испитивања антимикробне резистенције код бифидобактерија и бактерија млечне киселине, осим enterokoka. Медјум који се користи за раст микроорганизама у микротитрационим плочама је LSM бужон (Lactic Acid Bacteria Susceptibility Medium – LSM) који се састоји од 90% Iso Sensitest бужона са додатком 10% MRS бужона (de Man, Rogosa, Sharpe – MRS). За испитивање резистенције код *Bifidobacterium* spp. LSM бужону додаје се и цистеин (International Standardization Organization ISO 10932, 2010).

У Табели 1 приказани су основни skupови антибиотика на које треба испитати резистенцију код микроорганизама изолованих из хране, према препорукама EFSA.

**Табела 1** – Стандардан сет антибиотика за испитивање резистенције код микроорганизама из хране (EFSA 2007; EFSA 2008b; EFSA 2012)

Микроорганизам	Антибиотици
<i>Salmonella</i> spp, <i>E. Coli</i>	Сефотаксим, налидиксинска киселина, ципрофлоксацин, ампицилин, тетрациклин, хлорамфеникол, гентамицин, стрептомицин, триметоприм, сулфонамиди
<i>Campylobacter jejuni</i> и <i>Campylobacter coli</i>	Еритромицин, ципрофлоксацин, тетрациклин, стрептомицин, гентамицин
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i> и <i>Enterococcus faecium</i>	Стрептомицин, гентамицин, хлорамфеникол, ампицилин, ванкомицин, еритромицин, квинпристин/далфопристин, тетрациклин, линезолид
Бактерије млечне киселине и <i>Bifidobacterium</i> spp.	Ампицилин, ванкомицин, гентамицин, канамицин, стрептомицин, еритромицин, клиндамицин, тетрациклин и хлорамфеникол*

\* Ukoliko se u hranu dodaje i *Enterococcus faecium* osim predviđenog skupa antibiotika, treba ispitati i rezistenciju na tilozin.

### Utvrđivanje prisustva gena za rezistenciju na antibiotike

Ukoliko je MIC vrednost za neki soj mikroorganizma iznad postavljenih graničnih vrednosti, neophodno je dalje ispitivanje prirode rezistencije, kako bi se utvrdilo da li je rezistencija urođena ili stečena.

Urođena rezistencija je specifičnost vrste ili roda i tačna identifikacija taksonomske pripadnosti ispitivanog soja predstavlja osnovni preduslov za utvrđivanje genetske baze rezistencije (EFSA, 2008a). Genetska baza rezistencije utvrđuje se molekularno-biološkim metodama od kojih je najčešće korišćena PCR tehnika. Pretraživanjem literature, može se doći do podataka koji su neophodni za dizajniranje prajmera, podataka o genima za rezistenciju najčešće prisutnim kod ispitivanog mikroorganizma, kao i o njihovoj

lokalizaciji i mogućnostima prenosa na druge bakterije. Postoje i baze podataka o genima za rezistenciju na antibiotike koje su dostupne na internetu, u kojima se mogu pretraživati dosad opisani geni prema antibiotiku ili vrsti i rodu mikroorganizma, poput ARDB (Antibiotic Resistance Database) baze podataka (ARDB, 2017).

Iako je PCR najčešće korišćena metoda za dokazivanje gena rezistencije, ona zahteva izolaciju bakterija i njihove DNK i zavisna je od kulturnih tehnika i njihovih ograničenja pri izolaciji bakterija, zbog čega se za ispitivanje rezistencije unapređuju i razvijaju najsavremenije, kulturalno nezavisne tehnike poput metagenomike i sekvencioniranja celog genoma (Founou i sar., 2016). Ove metode omogućuju detekciju i ispitivanje celokupnog bakterijskog genoma, identifikaciju novih genetskih osobina i identifikaciju nepoznatih genetskih elemenata, što upotrebom PCR metoda nije moguće (Allen, 2014; Thanner, 2016).

## ZAKLJUČAK

Veliki značaj praćenja rezistencije na antibiotike kroz lanac hrane sve više se prepoznaje u celom svetu. Programi praćenja rezistencije kod mikroorganizama izolovanih iz hrane konstantno se razvijaju i unapređuju. Stalan napredak u metodama koje

se primenjuju kod izolata iz hrane neophodan je kako bi se dobili relevantni rezultati koji su potrebni da se rezistencija na antibiotike predviđa, prati i kako bi se na vreme primenile mere u sprečavanju njenog širenja.

## ЛИТЕРАТУРА

1. Allen H. K. (2014). *Antibiotic resistance gene discovery in food-producing animals*. Current Opinion in Microbiology, 19(1), 25–29.
2. Ammor M. S., Flórez A. B., Van Hoek A. H. A. M., De Los Reyes-Gavilán C. G., Aarts H. J. M., Margolles A., Mayo B. (2007). *Molecular characterization of intrinsic and acquired antibiotic resistance in lactic acid bacteria and bifidobacteria*. Journal of Molecular Microbiology and Biotechnology, 14(1–3), 6–15.
3. Antibiotic resistance database ARDB (2017) <https://ardb.cbcb.umd.edu/>
4. Devirgiliis C., Barile S., Perozzi G. (2011). *Antibiotic resistance determinants in the interplay between food and gut microbiota*. Genes and Nutrition, 6(3), 275–284.
5. European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility testing EUCAST (2017) [http://www.eucast.org/fileadmin/src/media/PDFs/EUCAST\\_files/Breakpoint\\_tables/v\\_7.0\\_Breakpoint\\_Tables.pdf](http://www.eucast.org/fileadmin/src/media/PDFs/EUCAST_files/Breakpoint_tables/v_7.0_Breakpoint_Tables.pdf)
6. European Food Safety Authority EFSA (2008a). *Technical guidance prepared by the Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed (FEEDAP) on the update of the criteria used in the assessment of bacterial resistance to antibiotics of human or veterinary importance*. The EFSA Journal, 732(May 2005), 1–15.
7. European Food Safety Authority EFSA (2008b). *Force on Zoonoses Data Collection including guidance for harmonized monitoring and reporting of antimicrobial resistance in commensal Escherichia coli and Enterococcus spp. in food animals*. EFSA Journal, 141(March), 1–44.
8. European Food Safety Authority EFSA (2007). *Data Collection including a proposal for a harmonized monitoring scheme of antimicrobial resistance in Salmonella in fowl (Gallus gallus), turkeys, and pigs and Campylobacter jejuni and C. coli in broilers*. EFSA Journal, 96(February), 1–46.
9. European Food Safety Authority. (2012). *Guidance on the assessment of bacterial susceptibility to antimicrobials of human and veterinary importance*. EFSA Journal, 10(6), 1–10.
10. Ferraro J. H. J., Jorgensen M. J. (2009). *Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing: A Review of General Principles and Contemporary Practices*. Clinical Infectious Diseases, 7750, 1749–1755.
11. Founou L. L., Founou R. C., Essack S. Y. (2016). *Antibiotic Resistance in the Food Chain : A Developing Country Perspective*, Frontiers in Microbiology 7(November), 1–19.
12. Huys G., D’Haene K., Swings J. (2002). *Influence of the culture medium on antibiotic*



- susceptibility testing of food-associated lactic acid bacteria with the agar overlay disc diffusion method.* Letters in Applied Microbiology, 34(6), 402–406.
13. International Standardization Organization ISO (2010) *International Standard ISO 10932. (2010). Milk and milk products - Determination of the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of antibiotics applicable to bifidobacteria and non-enterococcal lactic acid bacteria (LAB)*
  14. Mathur S., Singh R. (2005). *Antibiotic resistance in food lactic acid bacteria - A review.* International Journal of Food Microbiology, 105(3), 281–295.
  15. McDermott P. F., Bodeis-Jones S. M., Pritsche T. R., Jones R. N., Walker R. D. (2005). *Broth microdilution susceptibility testing of Campylobacter jejuni and the determination of quality control ranges for fourteen antimicrobial agents.* Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 43(12), 6136–6138.
  16. Silley, P. (2012). *Susceptibility testing methods , resistance and breakpoints : what do these terms really mean ?* Revue Scientifique et Technique Office International of Epizootics, 31(1), 33–41.
  17. Thanner S., Drissner D., Walsch F. (2016) *Antimicrobial resistance in agriculture.* American Society for Microbiology - Perspective 7(2), e02227-15.
  18. Wiegand I., Hilpert K., Hancock R. E. W. (2008). *Agar and broth dilution methods to determine the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of antimicrobial substances.* Nature Protocols, 3(2), 163–75.
  19. World Health Organisation. (2011). *Tackling antibiotic resistance from a food safety perspective in Europe.* World Health Organisation, 1–88.

Rad primljen: 18.6.2017.

Rad prihvaćen: 3.5.2018.

DOI: 10.7251/VETJ1801207L

UDK: 614.31:641/642

*Rewiev scientific article***METHODS FOR THE ANTIBIOTIC RESISTANCE DETECTION IN  
MICROORGANISMS ISOLATED FROM FOOD****Tijana LEDINA<sup>1\*</sup>, Snežana BULAJIĆ<sup>1</sup>, Jasna ĐORĐEVIĆ<sup>1</sup>**

1 Dr. Tijana Ledina, assistant, Dr. Snežana Bulajić, associate professor, Dr. Jasna Đorđević,  
The Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Belgrade, Bulevar oslobođenja 18,  
11000 Beograd, Srbija

\* Corresponding autor: Tijana Ledina, e-mail: [tijana.ledina@vet.bg.ac.rs](mailto:tijana.ledina@vet.bg.ac.rs)

**Abstract:** Resistance to antibiotics is a growing problem that the World Health Organization has declared one of the biggest threats to global health. The food chain is one of the most important ways of transmitting and spreading resistance to antibiotics between the population of resistant and populated by sensitive commensal and / or pathogenic microorganisms. Resistance to antibiotics can be inborn, or acquired by mutation or lateral gene transfer. From the aspect of the spread of resistance, only resistance acquired by the lateral transfer of the gene is significant. There are numerous methods for detecting and determining the nature of antibiotic resistance in bacteria isolated from food. The methods must be standardized and ensure the consistency of the obtained results. Methods for determining the minimum inhibitory concentration of antibiotics are used to detect phenotypic resistance in bacteria isolated from food. They include a microdilution method, an agar dilution method, and an E-test. Qualitative and semi-quantitative methods commonly used in clinical isolates are not suitable for antibiotic resistance testing in food-isolated microorganisms. In the case of microorganisms with detected presence of phenotypic resistance to antibiotics, the presence of the resistance gene is determined. Microorganisms evidenced by the presence of genetic determinants associated with acquired resistance to antibiotics represent a risk of resistance dissemination among the susceptible populations. Commercially used microorganisms should not possess genetic determinants of transferable antibiotic resistance.

**Key words:** antibiotic resistance, minimum inhibitory concentration, genetic determinants of resistance

---

\* The paper was presented at the 22nd Annual Consultation of the Doctors of Veterinary Medicine of the Republic of Srpska (BiH) with international participation

**Acknowledgment:** This review is part of the project no. 46009 and III 46010 of the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia.

## INTRODUCTION

The beginning of the antibiotic era in the 1940s marked the true revolution in medicine, thanks to which lethal bacterial infections became curable. Soon after the emergence of antibiotic treatment, the first microorganisms that were resistant to them appeared. Today, antibiotic resistance is one of the biggest problems of mankind. (World Health Organization, WHO, 2011). In many countries, the annual use of antibiotics in veterinary medicine is far superior to the use of antibiotics in human medicine. As a result of metaphylaxis and prophylaxis in veterinary medicine, even healthy animals are continually exposed to large amounts of antibiotics. Microorganisms derived from animals are thus subjected to selective pressure, which leads to the survival of only antibiotic-resistant bacteria. (WHO, 2011). Food of animal origin allows direct contact between the microflora of the digestive tract of humans and animals and is one of the most important ways of spreading resistance between populations of humans and animals. From the aspect of food safety, beside resistant pathogenic microorganisms, commensal microorganisms that can serve as a reservoir for antibiotic resistance gene, are also important. (European Food Safety Authority EFSA, 2008). The products obtained

from raw meat and milk are especially incriminated due to the absence of thermal treatment, enabling the survival of a large number of microorganisms in them (Mathur and Singh, 2005). Resistance to antibiotics can be inborn or acquired. Inborn resistance is an inherent feature of the species or genus and has no significant role in the dissemination of resistance among the populations of microorganisms. The acquired resistance to antibiotics is specific only to certain strains within a generally susceptible species or genus and can be caused by mutations on existing genes or by acquisition of new genes by lateral transfer (Ammor et al., 2007). Inborn resistance and antibiotic resistance resulting from mutations are not important in non-pathogenic microbial species because they have no role in spreading resistance. Resistance obtained by lateral gene transfer carries the greatest risk for the spread of genetic determinants of resistance to susceptible microorganisms (Devirgiliis et al., 2011). Tracing resistance to pathogenic and commensurate microorganisms through the food chain is of the utmost importance for understanding and predicting the emergence and spread, as well as for planning adequate measures to prevent further dissemination of resistance to antibiotics. In order to successfully

monitor resistance to bacteria isolated from food, to determine its nature and to evaluate the ability to transfer to susceptible bacteria, it is necessary

to apply the appropriate methods of antibiotic resistance testing.

## METHODS FOR TESTING RESISTANCE TO ANTIBIOTICS

In examining the presence of resistance in microorganisms isolated from food, the phenotypic and genotypic methods are used. First, phenotypic resistance is determined using a qualitative or quantitative method. If evidence of phenotypic resistance, which could be a consequence of lateral gene transfer, is found in the isolates then it is necessary to determine the presence of genes which encode resistance.

### **Qualitative methods for detection of resistance to antibiotics**

Disk diffusion method is the most frequently used qualitative method for determining resistance in microorganisms. A disk diffusion method is performed by applying an inoculum containing approximately  $1-2 \times 10^8$  log CFU / mL of the examining microorganism to the surface of the Petri dish with the appropriate nutrient medium, and a filter-paper disk, impregnated with the compound to be tested, is then placed on it. The results are read after 16-24h by measuring the growth inhibition zone of the examined microorganism (Jorgensen and Ferraro, 2009). Although the disc diffusion method is most often used one in clinical isolates because it is cheap, simple and well-standardized, it is not suitable for determining resistance to

microorganisms isolated from food because there is no possibility of quantification of the results. Isolates based on the size of zone inhibition can only be classified as susceptible or resistant (EFSA, 2012).

### **Quantitative methods for the determination of minimal inhibitory concentrations of antibiotics**

Quantitative methods based on the determination of minimal inhibitory concentration are recommended for testing resistance to bacteria isolated from food. (Minimal Inhibitory Concentration – MIC) (EFSA, 2008; EFSA, 2007; EFSA, 2012) The minimum inhibitory concentration is the lowest antibiotic concentration that has the ability to inhibit microorganism growth under precisely defined conditions (Wiegand et al., 2008). There are several methods for determining MIC values, such as agar dilution method, broth dilution method, E-tests, and more recently automated instrumental systems (Jorgensen and Ferraro, 2009).

In the agar dilution method, a certain concentration of antibiotics is added directly to the agar, and then the examined microorganism is deposited on its surface. Results are based on the presence or absence of microorganism

growth on agar surface after incubation (Wiegand et al., 2008). For the broth dilution method, a liquid medium is used with the addition of antibiotics at a precisely determined concentration to determine the presence of resistance. The method of macrodilution is performed in the tubes, and the broth volume into which the microorganism and the antibiotic are added should be greater than 2 mL. Although the macrodilution method is the first method developed to determine the MIC value it is no longer in use because it is more demanding and more expensive than the microdilution method (Jorgensen and Ferraro, 2009).

The microdilution method for determining the MIC values involves the use of microtitration plates, with the total volume in the well plate not exceeding 500  $\mu$ L (Wiegand et al., 2008). Microtitration plates have standard 8 x 12 well configuration allowing 8 antibiotics in 12 concentrations, or 12 antibiotics in 8 concentrations to be tested. Antibiotic concentrations in the tubes or microtitration plates are usually in a series of double dilutions (e.g., 1, 2, 4, 8, etc.  $\mu$ g antibiotics / mL substrate) Microdilution plates can be made directly in a laboratory, but commercial plates with wells containing dehydrated antibiotics at appropriate concentrations are also available. Concentrations of antibiotics that are put into microtitration plate wells are prescribed by standards or appropriate guidelines (Jorgensen and Ferraro, 2009).

The results of the macrodilution method are interpreted on the basis of the broth cloudiness and in the microdilution method they are based on the presence or absence of the sediment in the microtitration well. The smallest concentration of antibiotics in which there is no visible cloudiness or sediment formation is the MIC value for the examined isolate (Jorgensen and Ferraro, 2009).

E-tests are thin plastic strips on the back of which antibiotics are applied in growing concentrations. On the other side, there is a scaling with numbers that indicate antibiotic concentrations. E-tests are placed on the surface of a suitable agar previously inoculated with the examining microorganism. MIC values are read as the point where the growth inhibition ellipse intersects the MIC scale on the strip. E-tests are simple and easy to perform, but they are not used in routine tests of food isolates since the price of such tests is very high (Jorgensen and Ferraro, 2009).

Recently, semi-automated and automated methods for the determination of MIC values have been developed, such as MicroScan WalkAway (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics), BD Phoenix Automated Microbiology Diagnostics (BD Diagnostics), Vitek 2 System (bioMerieux) and Sensititre Aris 2X (Trek Diagnostic Systems). Automated methods allow rapid and standardized reading of results, but their use is still limited to clinical isolates (Jorgensen and Ferraro, 2009).

### **Specificity of resistance testing on antibiotics in microorganisms isolated from food**

Microorganisms isolated from food are different from clinical isolates, so the resistance monitoring methods differ from the methods applied in clinical practice. Determination of resistance of a strain isolated from food is based on microbiological (epidemiological) MIC limit values, unlike clinical isolates for which resistance determination is based on clinical limit values (EFSA, 2008a). Microbiological limit values are set in relation to the distribution of MIC values in the bacterial population, and strains whose MIC values differ significantly from the distribution of MIC values within that species or genus are labeled as microbiologically resistant. Microorganisms that are microbiologically susceptible are not genetic carriers which encode the acquired resistance, whether it is a consequence of mutation or is caused by lateral gene transfer.

In contrast to microbiological limit values, clinical limit values are aimed at examining the possibilities of treating a bacterial infection with an antibiotic, taking into account clinical studies of efficacy, antibiotic dosing, pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics. When reading the results with microbiological limit values, there are only categories of resistance and susceptibility in microorganisms, but not intermediate sensitivity / resistance (Silleby, 2012).

Resistance monitoring programs for bacteria isolated from food set monitoring of resistance in *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter* as a minimum condition (WHO, 2011). In addition to these two genotypes, *Escherichia coli* was most commonly included as a marker of Gram negative commensal microflora and *Enterococcus* spp. as a marker of Gram positive commensal microflora (Founou et al., 2016; WHO 2011). According to the recommendations of the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), testing of MIC values in *Salmonella* spp, *Campylobacter* spp, *Enterococcus* spp. and *E. coli* should be done according to instructions issued by the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST, 2017), but only using quantitative methods for determining MIC values, since the disk diffusion method is marked as inadequate (EFSA, 2008b; EFSA, 2007). The most commonly used medium for antibiotic resistance testing is Miler-Hinton Broth. However, it is not adequate for testing *Campylobacter* susceptibility because of their nutritional requirements. In this case Cation-Adjusted Mueller Hinton Broth (CAMHB) supplemented with 2-5% lysed horse blood is used. (McDermott et al., 2005).

According to EFSA recommendations, all microorganisms deliberately added to food, most commonly as a culture starter or probiotics, should not be the carriers of genetic determinants of resistance acquired by lateral gene transfer (EFSA, 2012). Since microorganisms are not

clinically relevant, the methods for testing MIC values are not given in the EUCAST guidelines. The problem of antimicrobial resistance testing in lactic acid bacteria was to select an adequate nutrient medium for bacterial growth in microtiter plates, as the commonly used Miller-Hinton and Iso-Sensitest broths did not prove to be adequate for all members of this group of microorganisms (Huys et al. , 2002) Recently, the ISO standard (ISO 10932, 2010) was issued, which defines the method for testing antimicrobial resistance in bifidobacteria and lactic

acid bacteria, except enterococcus. The medium used to grow microorganisms in microtitration plates is a Lactic Acid Bacteria Susceptibility Medium (LSM) consisting of 90% Iso- Sensitest Broth with addition of 10% MRS Broth (de Man, Rogosa, Sharpe - MRS). For testing resistance of Bifidobacterium spp. LSM, the cysteine (International Standardization Organization ISO 10932, 2010) is also added.

Table 1 shows the basic antibiotic groups to investigate the resistance of microorganisms isolated from food according to EFSA recommendations

**Table 1** - Standard antibiotics (EFSA 2007, EFSA 2008b, EFSA 2012)

Microorganism	Antibiotics
<i>Salmonella spp, E. coli</i>	Cefotaxime, nalidixic acid, ciprofloxacin, ampicillin, tetracycline, chloramphenicol, gentamicin, streptomycin, trimethoprim, sulfonamides
<i>Campylobacter jejuni</i> and <i>Campylobacter coli</i>	Erythromycin, ciprofloxacin, tetracycline, streptomycin, gentamicin
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i> and <i>Enterococcus faecium</i>	Streptomycin, gentamicin, chloramphenicol, ampicillin, vancomycin, erythromycin, kvinpristin / dalfopristin, tetracycline, linezolid
Lactic acid bacteria and <i>Bifidobacterium spp.</i>	Ampicillin, vancomycin, gentamicin, kanamycin, streptomycin, erythromycin, clindamycin, tetracycline and chloramphenicol

\* If *Enterococcus faecium* is added to the food in addition to these antibiotics, the resistance to tylosin should also be tested

## Determination of antibiotic resistance gene

If the MIC value for some strain is above the set limit values, it is necessary to further investigate the nature of the resistance to determine whether it is inborn or acquired.

Inborn resistance is the specificity of the species or genus and accurate identification of the taxonomic affiliation of the strain tested is a basic precondition for determining the genetic basis of resistance (EFSA, 2008a). The genetic basis of resistance is determined by molecular-biological methods, and the most commonly used is PCR technique. All the data necessary for the design of primers, the data on resistance genes most commonly present in the examined microorganism, as well as data on their localization and transferability

can be found in literature. There are also databases, such as the ARDB (Antibiotic Resistance Database) database (ARDB, 2017), on antibiotic resistance genes available on the Internet.

Although PCR is the most commonly used method for proving the resistance gene, it requires the isolation of bacteria and their DNA and depends on the culture techniques and their limitations in bacterial isolation, that is why advanced, culture-independent techniques, such as meta-genomics and sequencing of whole genome are being improved. (Founou et al., 2016) These methods enable the detection and testing of the whole bacterial genome, the identification of new genetic traits and the identification of unknown genetic elements, which is not possible with PCR methods. (Allen, 2014; Thanner, 2016).

## CONCLUSION

The great importance of monitoring antibiotic resistance through the food chain is becoming more and more recognized throughout the world. Resistance monitoring programs for microorganisms isolated from food are constantly being developed and

improved. Continuous advances in methods applied to food isolates are necessary in order to obtain the relevant results that are required for antibiotic resistance to be predicted, monitored and to prevent its spread in time.



## REFERENCES

1. Allen H. K. (2014). Antibiotic resistance gene discovery in food-producing animals. *Current Opinion in Microbiology*, 19(1), 25–29.
2. Ammor M. S., Flórez A. B., Van Hoek A. H. A. M., De Los Reyes-Gavilán C. G., Aarts H. J. M., Margolles A., Mayo B. (2007). Molecular characterization of intrinsic and acquired antibiotic resistance in lactic acid bacteria and bifidobacteria. *Journal of Molecular Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 14(1–3), 6–15.
3. Antibiotic resistance database ARDB (2017) <https://arbd.cbcb.umd.edu/>
4. Devirgiliis C., Barile S., Perozzi G. (2011). Antibiotic resistance determinants in the interplay between food and gut microbiota. *Genes and Nutrition*, 6(3), 275–284.
5. European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility testing EUCAST (2017) [http://www.eucast.org/fileadmin/src/media/PDFs/EUCAST\\_files/Breakpoint\\_tables/v\\_7.0\\_Breakpoint\\_Tables.pdf](http://www.eucast.org/fileadmin/src/media/PDFs/EUCAST_files/Breakpoint_tables/v_7.0_Breakpoint_Tables.pdf)
6. European Food Safety Authority EFSA (2008a). Technical guidance prepared by the Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed (FEEDAP) on the update of the criteria used in the assessment of bacterial resistance to antibiotics of human or veterinary importance. *The EFSA Journal*, 732(May 2005), 1–15.
7. European Food Safety Authority EFSA (2008b). Force on Zoonoses Data Collection including guidance for harmonized monitoring and reporting of antimicrobial resistance in commensal *Escherichia coli* and *Enterococcus* spp. in food animals. *EFSA Journal*, 141(March), 1–44.
8. European Food Safety Authority EFSA (2007). Data Collection including a proposal for a harmonized monitoring scheme of antimicrobial resistance in *Salmonella* in fowl (*Gallus gallus*), turkeys, and pigs and *Campylobacter jejuni* and *C. coli* in broilers. *EFSA Journal*, 96(February), 1–46.
9. European Food Safety Authority. (2012). Guidance on the assessment of bacterial susceptibility to antimicrobials of human and veterinary importance. *EFSA Journal*, 10(6), 1–10.
10. Ferraro J. H. J., Jorgensen M. J. (2009). Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing: A Review of General Principles and Contemporary Practices. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 7750, 1749–1755.
11. Founou L. L., Founou R. C., Essack S. Y. (2016). Antibiotic Resistance in the Food Chain: A Developing Country Perspective, *Frontiers in Microbiology* 7(November), 1–19.
12. Huys G., D’Haene K., Swings J. (2002). Influence of the culture medium on antibiotic susceptibility testing of food-associated lactic acid bacteria with the agar overlay disc diffusion method. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, 34(6), 402–406.

13. International Standardization Organization ISO (2010) International Standard ISO 10932. (2010). Milk and milk products - Determination of the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of antibiotics applicable to bifidobacteria and non-enterococcal lactic acid bacteria (LAB)
14. Mathur S., Singh R. (2005). Antibiotic resistance in food lactic acid bacteria - A review. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 105(3), 281–295.
15. McDermott P. F., Bodeis-Jones S. M., Pritsche T. R., Jones R. N., Walker R. D. (2005). Broth microdilution susceptibility testing of *Campylobacter jejuni* and the determination of quality control ranges for fourteen antimicrobial agents. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 43(12), 6136–6138.
16. Silley, P. (2012). Susceptibility testing methods , resistance and breakpoints : what do these terms really mean ? *Revue Scientifique et Technique Office International of Epizootics*, 31(1), 33–41.
17. Thanner S., Drissner D., Walsch F. (2016) Antimicrobial resistance in agriculture. *American Society for Microbiology - Perspective* 7(2), e02227-15.
18. Wiegand I., Hilpert K., Hancock R. E. W. (2008). Agar and broth dilution methods to determine the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of antimicrobial substances. *Nature Protocols*, 3(2), 163–75.
19. World Health Organisation. (2011). Tackling antibiotic resistance from a food safety perspective in Europe. *World Health Organisation*, 1–88.

Paper received: 18.6.2017.

Paper accepted: 03.5.2018.