Original scientific papers

Page 189 of 193

UDK 620.179:621.375.82 doi: 10.7251/COMEN1202189L

## OPTIMIZATION OF THE PROCESS OF LASER MARKING OF PRODUCTS MADE OF TOOL STEEL

L. Lazov<sup>\*</sup>, N. Angelov

Technical University of Gabrovo, Hadzhi Dimitar 4, 5300 Gabrovo, Bulgaria

**Abstract:** Special consideration is given to parameters affecting the contrast of laser marking on tool steel products and relevant experimental results are reported related to determining the critical density of laser radiation power in melting and evaporation of steel types V7 and P6M5. The dependence of contrast on marking speed and power density is also presented.

Keywords: laser marking, tool steel, contrast.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Good quality laser marking is of particular importance for both manufacturers and customers. It is needed by the manufacturers so that they can monitor all stages of the production cycle and on the other hand, it assures the customer of the parameters featured by the product [1,2].

Factors affecting the quality of marking are the following: contrast, homogeneity and clarity of image contour; precision of positioning, wear resistance and absence of product wastes within the impact zone [3,4].

Laser marking of tool steel products is a complex process and depends on sophisticated relationships between technology parameters which in turn are directly related to the above factors underlying the overall quality [5-7]. Determining technology parameters individual for each particular case of laser marking is a complex optimization task in its own right both in terms of theory and application. The level of complexity is largely attributed to by the fact that there are various methods for marking concerning particular materials and types of surfaces.

#### 2. PRESENTATION

Contrast is a key factor for determining laser marking quality. There are several parameters that affect laser marking contrast (Fig. 1). According to [8] they can be grouped in the following way:

- Parameters related to laser source – surface density of laser radiation power  $q_s$ , pulse energy  $E_p$ , frequency of pulse repeating v, duration of pulses  $\tau$ ; Parameters related to material properties – optical and thermal-physical characteristics;

– Parameters related to the manufacturing technology process – marking speed v, step  $\Delta x$ , number of repeating N, defocus  $\Delta f$ .

Other parameters include the coefficient of overlapping  $k_{nn}$ , a complex parameter which depends both on laser parameters and those of the manufacturing technology process  $k_{nn} = f(v, v, d)$ , where *d* is the diameter of the work spot.



Figure 1. Key factors affecting laser marking contrast

#### Experimental investigations

This study comprises investigations carried out in the following trends:

Determining the boundaries between various methods for marking;

– Investigation of the influence of surface density of power  $q_s$  and speed of marking v upon contrast  $k^*$ .

For determining the boundaries between the marking methods, a series of experimental investigations have been carried out aiming at determining the critical density of the power for melting  $q_{Sm}$  and evaporation  $q_{Sv}$  intended for

samples made of tool steel (carbon steel V7 and rapid steel P6M5) with ground surface. In these experiments we used fiber laser which features a number of advantages [9,10] as compared to other technology lasers for marking.

The investigation results of  $q_{\kappa pm}$  at speeds for marking within the range of  $v \in [20, 100]$  mm/s are shown in Fig. 2. Based on the obtained results it is possible to conclude the following:



Figure 2. Dependence of critical density of power for melting  $q_{\kappa pm}$  on the speed v for fiber laser SP-40P; investigation samples (1)- carbon tool steel V7; (2) – rapid steel P6M5

– Dependence of  $q_{\kappa pm} = q_{\kappa pm}(v)$  within the investigated range is almost linear for the tested samples (1) and (2);

- Critical density of power  $q_{\kappa pm}$  for samples of carbon steel V7 during melting is about 1,7 times lower compared to that made of rapid steel tool P6M5. The explanation for this experimental result can be accounted for by the presence of some difficulty to melt additives in the composition of rapid tool steels - 5,5 - 6,5% W, 1,7 - 2,1% V, 4,8 -5,3% Mo;

- For the interval of speeds  $v \in [20, 100]$  mm/s critical density of the melting power varies within the range  $q_s \in [4,77.10^9; 8,19.10^9]$  W/m<sup>2</sup> for steel *V7* and  $q_s \in [7,27.10^9; 12,8.10^9]$  W/m<sup>2</sup> for steel P6M5.

A series of experiments have been carried out with an aim to investigate technology parameters (surface density of power  $q_s$  and speed of marking v) upon contrast  $k^*$  of the marking. Well ground samples were prepared from steel Y7 and were covered by marked raster zones with dimensions 3x3 mm c 40 W Fiber laser SP — 40P. For measuring contrast  $k^*$  a methodology was used prescribed by the Bulgarian State Standard 16383:1986.

Contrast  $k^*$  is determined in percentage through a reference scale of grey color either in relative units or in percents. A black and white photo is made in the marking zone for the purpose of contrast measuring. By comparing the investigated image with the reference scale a value  $N_x$  in the range between 0 (black) and 255 (white) is selected. A reference number  $N_f$  is set for the background (that is the image on the surface around the marked zone). Contrast  $\tau k_x^*$  is defined by way of linear interpolation from the expression

$$k_x^* = \frac{N_f - N_x}{N_f} \cdot 100\% \ .$$

In visual evaluation of made markings, according to Vassilev [11], a possible good quality criterion demands a contrast of over 50% whereas in using computer aided readers for 2D and bar codes, the contrast should be at least 20% [12,13].

Investigation results are shown in Fig.3. In a series of experiments for five different speeds for

treatment, power density of laser radiation varies within the interval  $q_s \in [0,95.10^{10}; 2,87.10^{10}]$  W/m<sup>2</sup> by a step of 3,2.10<sup>9</sup> W/m<sup>2</sup>.

The analysis of graphs leads to the following conclusions:

• The greater the surface density of laser radiation power  $q_s$ , the greater the contrast of marking obtained  $k^*$  where:

- for marking speed v = 20 mm/s this dependence is almost linear and the speed for contrast intensity of the marking is very low- 1,0.10<sup>-9</sup> %/(W/m<sup>2</sup>). Over the entire investigated range marking by means of evaporation is observed.

- for the interval  $q_s \in [0,95.10^{10}; 1,40.10^{10}]$ W/m<sup>2</sup> contrast rises rapidly by:

speed 6,5.10<sup>-9</sup> %/(W/m<sup>2</sup>) for speed of marking v = 40 mm/s and v = 60 mm/s;

speed 10,7.10<sup>-9</sup> %/(W/m<sup>2</sup>) for speed of marking v = 80 mm/s µ v = 100 mm/s,

- for the interval  $q_s \in [1,40.10^{10}; 2,87.10^{10}]$ W/m<sup>2</sup> the contrast grows slowly as the dependence is close to the linear one.

- In the first interval marking through melting is obtained while in the second one marking is obtained via evaporation.

• The optimum intervals for surface power density  $q_s$  at different speeds of marking v are shown in table 1.

The lower boundary (limit) of the obtained optimum intervals corresponds to the requirements for minimum contrast  $k^* = 50\%$  in perceiving the

marking visually. The upper limit is in line with the requirement for good quality, namely: no presence of additional wastes in the active (working) zone.

Table 1: The optimum intervals for surface power density at different speeds of marking are shown in table 1.

speed of marking v, mm/s	optimum intervals for $q_s$ , $W/m^2$
20	0,95.10 <sup>10</sup> - 1,95.10 <sup>10</sup>
40	1,04.10 <sup>10</sup> - 2,07.10 <sup>10</sup>
60	1,17.10 <sup>10</sup> - 2,18.10 <sup>10</sup>
80	1,30.10 <sup>10</sup> - 2,30.10 <sup>10</sup>
100	1,47.10 <sup>10</sup> - 2,40.10 <sup>10</sup>

Results obtained from the experiments on the influence of speed upon contrast  $k^*$  are presented in Fig. 4. The speed of marking varies within the interval  $v \in [30, 270]$  mm/s by step of 30 mm/s.

Based on the results obtained it is possible to make the following conclusions:

- Contrast diminishes by 0.30%(mm/s) with the increase of speed;

– The optimum interval for the speed of marking with surface density of laser radiation power qS = 1,71.1010 W/m2 e v  $\in$  [30, 150] mm/s in perceiving marking visually.



Figure 3. Diagram of the experimental dependence  $k^* = k^*(q_s)$  for steel V7 in marking with fiber laser SP - 40P at speed: 1 - v = 20 mm/s; 2 - v = 40 mm/s; 3 - v = 60 mm/s; 4 - v = 80 mm/s; 5 - v = 100 mm/s.



*Figure 4. Diagram of the experimental dependence*  $k^* = k^*(v)$  for sample made of carbon tool steel V7 in marking with fiber laser UF - 20

#### **3. CONCLUSION**

The results obtained will be helpful for the operators of laser technology systems for marking as they contribute to considerable reduction of set up time in real manufacturing settings. These experiments are to be continued with the investigation of the influence of different types of surfaces (polished, ground with various stages of roughness, nickel coated or oxidized) on the manufacturing process of marking. The aim is to create a database of manufacturing technology tables which will serve the needs of real industrial marking during the manufacture of products made of tool steels.

#### 4. REFERENCES

[1] A. Valiulin, S. Gorniy, Y. Grechko, M. Patrov, K.Yudin, B. Yurevich, *Laser marking of materials*, Science journal Photonica, Vol. 3 (2007).

[2] L. Lazov. N. Angelov, *The 50th anniversary of laser*, Contemporary Materials, Vol. I–1 (2010) 68–73.

[3]

http://www.metma.ru/proizvodstvo/school\_futures.ht ml

[4] L. Lazov, N. Angelov, *Physical model* about laser impact on metals and alloys, Contemporary Materials, Vol. I–2 (2010) 124–128.

[5] L. Lazov, et al., *Investigation of the role of certain factors affecting the contrast in laser marking*, Contemporary Materials, Vol. II–1 (2011) 13–17.

[6] D. Schuöcker, *Handbook of the Eurolaser* Academy, CHAPMAN&HALL, London, 1998

[7] B. Veiko, *Technology lasers and laser radiation*, Publishers. СПГУ "ИТМО", Saint Petersburg, 2007

[8] L. Lazov, N. Angelov, *Principal factors determining quality of markings on metals and alloys*. International Scientific Conference AMTECH- 2007, Gabrovo, Vol. I (2007) 102–107.

[9] C.Vuds, M. Daka, G. Flinn, *Medium power fiber lasers and their application*, Science journal Photonika, Vol. 4 (2008).

[10] www.sp-laser.ru/?id=430

[11] N. Vassiliev, *Industrial lighting*, Technika Publishers, Sofia 1973.

[12] B. Faisst, Beschrifften mit diodegepumptem Festkoperlaser, ATE Technologietag TRUMF laser GmbH, 29.06.2006.

[13] www.dapramarking.com/datamatrix.htm

#### ନ୍ଧର

# ОПТИМИЗАЦИЈА ПРОЦЕСА ЛАСЕРСКОГ ОЗНАЧАВАЊА ПРОИЗВОДА НАПРАВЉЕНИХ ОД АЛАТНОГ ЧЕЛИКА

Сажетак: Посебан осврт дат је на параметре који утичу на контраст ласерског означавања производа од алатних челика, уз приказ релевантних експерименталних резултата везаних за одређивање критичне густине радијацијске снаге ласера при таљењу и испаравању челика врсте У7 и Р6М5. Такође је приказана зависност контраста од брзине означавања и густине снаге.

Кључне ријечи: ласерско означавање, алатни челик, контраст.

ଔଷ୍ଠ